

Your contact details

Your details

Organisation:	
Name:	W John Webb
Address:	
Postcode:	
Telephone:	
Email*:	

Your agent's details (if you have one)

Organisation:	
Name:	
Address:	
Postcode:	
Telephone:	
Email*:	

***We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, please provide an email address if you have one – where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.**

This response contains pages including this one (please indicate).

If you have any questions, or wish to be removed from our consultation database, please call the SLDC Development Plans team on tel: **01539 793388**.

If you would like a copy of this document in another format such as large print, Braille, audio or in a different language, please call **01539 733333** or email **customer.services@southlakeland.gov.uk**



Arnside & Silverdale Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) Development Plan Document (DPD)

Issues and Options Consultation

Consultation Response Form

Please use this form to comment on the AONB DPD Issues and Options Discussion Paper, draft SA Scoping Report and the sites put forward for consideration. **Please complete a separate sheet for each section or site you wish to comment on** and, if appropriate, give the question number you are commenting on.

Please indicate which section of the Issues and Options Discussion Paper your response relates to:

Section	Tick (✓) as appropriate
1. Introduction	
2. Background	
3. Evidence base	
4. Vision and objectives	
5. Policy Issues	
6. Options for meeting the objectives and delivering the vision	✓
7. Delivery of development	

Please make your comments below on the section you have selected.

As relevant, please make reference to:

- the **paragraph number** you are referring to;
- your preferred **option**
- the **question number** asked in the Discussion Paper that you are responding to
- the **reference number** of the site you are commenting on

Paragraph Number:	
Preferred Option:	
Question Number referred to:	Q 27 continued
Site reference Number:	

Your comments

Sites 41 and 46 appear to be an overlap or duplication. Initially they may appear to offer potential as "brown field" sites, having previously supported greenhouses over part of their area. However, to most people greenhouses are seen as agricultural features rather than permanent buildings. (What is their definition in planning terms?) Certainly the greenhouses did not cover all of the sites, which included an outdoor garden. If the sites were to receive favourable consideration this could only be justified for part of them and, to minimise visual impact, that may need to be the part which had not previously contained the greenhouses. Sites 41 and 46 link, via site 58, to site 56. There is potential of development creep if development is undertaken at either end of these adjoining sites. A proposal for 19 dwellings on site 56 has already been circulated by Applethwaite Ltd. This comes within the definition of "major development" inappropriate in the AONB.

Ctd

(Continue on a separate sheet if required)

Are there any topics or issues that you think we have missed or that you wish to raise?

(Continue on a separate sheet if required)