

Your contact details

Your details

Organisation:	
Name:	W John Webb
Address:	[REDACTED]
Postcode:	
Telephone:	
Email*:	

Your agent's details (if you have one)

Organisation:	
Name:	
Address:	
Postcode:	
Telephone:	
Email*:	

***We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, please provide an email address if you have one – where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.**

This response contains pages including this one (please indicate).

If you have any questions, or wish to be removed from our consultation database, please call the SLDC Development Plans team on tel: **01539 793388**.

If you would like a copy of this document in another format such as large print, Braille, audio or in a different language, please call **01539 733333** or email **customer.services@southlakeland.gov.uk**



Issues and Options Consultation

Consultation Response Form

Please use this form to comment on the AONB DPD Issues and Options Discussion Paper, draft SA Scoping Report and the sites put forward for consideration. **Please complete a separate sheet for each section or site you wish to comment on** and, if appropriate, give the question number you are commenting on.

Please indicate which section of the Issues and Options Discussion Paper your response relates to:

Section	Tick (✓) as appropriate
1. Introduction	
2. Background	✓
3. Evidence base	✓
4. Vision and objectives	
5. Policy Issues	✓
6. Options for meeting the objectives and delivering the vision	✓
7. Delivery of development	

Please make your comments below on the section you have selected.

As relevant, please make reference to:

- the **paragraph number** you are referring to;
- your preferred **option**
- the **question number** asked in the Discussion Paper that you are responding to
- the **reference number** of the site you are commenting on

Paragraph Number:	2.10 - 2.19
Preferred Option:	
Question Number referred to:	1
Site reference Number:	All

Your comments

Arnside Silverdale AONB is one of the smallest of our AONBs. On the basis of scale of impact it is appropriate to have a limit to the definition of "major development". The definition offered in Para 2.18 is the maximum acceptable.

It is difficult to see how any "major development", so defined, could not impact on the landscape or scenic beauty of the area, with the possible exception of a brownfield site in the middle of an existing settlement. On this basis the following offered sites in Silverdale should be rejected: 43, 44, 46, 50, 56, 58, and 98. Site 44 is, in effect, linked with site 52. Both lie adjacent to SSSIs on land which it would be inappropriate to develop in the context of the other criteria for the AONB. Any development down to the water's edge at Hawes Water would intrude on the landscape value of one of the AONB's gems.

It is also difficult to consider sites 46, 56, and 58 independent of each other. See comments on Q27.

Continued on additional Response Forms.

(Continue on a separate sheet if required)

Are there any topics or issues that you think we have missed or that you wish to raise?

(Continue on a separate sheet if required)

