

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Pamela ATTREE [mailto:]

**Sent:** 14- Apr- 11 15:52

**To:** Scott-Clarke, Elizabeth

**Subject:** RE: Land allocations consultation, Spring 2011 - Urswick Parish Council Response

Yes please - that would be helpful.

Regards

Pam

=====  
Message Received: Apr 14 2011, 11:03 AM

From: "Scott-Clarke, Elizabeth"

To: "Pamela ATTREE"

Cc:

Subject: RE: Land allocations consultation, Spring 2011 - Urswick Parish Council Response

Dear Ms. Attree,

Thank you for your response below. Do you want your e mail (on behalf of Urswick Parish Council) below, to also be considered as part of your earlier representation (Parish Council e mail of 5th April 2011).

Best Wishes,  
Elizabeth

Tel Direct Line (01539) 717359

Fax (01539) 717355

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Pamela ATTREE [mailto:]

**Sent:** 14- Apr- 11 09:53

**To:** Scott-Clarke, Elizabeth

**Cc:** Geoff James

**Subject:** RE: Land allocations consultation, Spring 2011 - Urswick Parish Council Response

Dear Elizabeth

The Parish Council would like to stress the following points with regard to any proposed development in close proximity to Urswick Tarn. These are pertinent regardless of the detail of past policy documents.

It has been South Lakeland District Council's policy not to allow new build property on the Tarn shore - a policy supported and re enforced by the Secretary of State to the Environment 1995, the Parish council and public opinion.

Previous attempts to build close to the Tarn have failed on the grounds of loss of Tarn view access as of major public amenity importance. This has

been supported by the Secretary of State on two occasions at appeal.

The Council would be grateful therefore if you could take these points into consideration when formulating your response to the consultation.

Regards  
Pamela Attree  
Clerk to Urswick Parish Council

=====  
From: "Scott-Clarke, Elizabeth"  
To: Cc: Subject: RE: Land allocations consultation,  
Spring 2011 - Urswick Parish Council Response

Dear Ms. Attree,

Thank you for your Parish Council's consultation response, you say that "Councillors note that the map for Urswick (map 38) shows a planning boundary along the eastern side of Urswick Tarn, running along the road. Previous development documents showed this also to be the case along the northern side of the Tarn..."

The 2006 South Lakeland Local Plan's Inset Map 14, for Great Urswick, shows the northern development boundary for Great Urswick running along the edge of the tarn (northern bank) and not along the road. This being the case, which 'previous development documents' are your Parish Councillors referring to?

Best Wishes,  
Elizabeth

Mrs Elizabeth Scott-Clarke Bsc (Hons) MRTPI  
Development Plans Officer  
Tel Direct Line (01539) 717359  
Fax (01539) 717355  
e-mail: [e.scott-clarke@southlakeland.gov.uk](mailto:e.scott-clarke@southlakeland.gov.uk)

**From:** Pamela ATTREE [mailto:]  
**Sent:** 05 April 2011 15:11  
**To:** Development Plans  
**Cc:** Jeff Winder; Peter Rixom; Jeff Keen;  
Nancy Cowsgill; Geoff James; Daniel Stubbs;  
Denise Westfield; Jake O'Donovan  
**Subject:** Land allocations consultation

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to you on behalf of Urswick Parish Council regarding the consultation on the South Lakeland Local Development Framework, specifically the Land Allocations Consultation.

Councillors note that the map for Urswick (map 38) shows a planning boundary along the eastern side of Urswick Tarn, running along the road. Previous development documents showed this also to be the

case along the northern side of the Tarn. This was to protect the views of the Tarn which residents currently enjoy. It is noted that the current map shows the development boundary running along the northern edge of the Tarn - shifted from its previous position on the road.

Urswick Parish Council requests that the development boundary on the northern side of the Tarn be moved back to its previous position, along the road. The principle reason for this is to protect the views of the Tarn, as previously stated. In addition, although a portion of the area in question is not under risk of flooding, one house is currently subsiding as a result of the soft ground and marl bench that is beneath the area. Thus the water does significantly affect the area.

I look forward to receiving your response on this matter.

Regards

Pamela Attree  
Clerk to Urswick Parish Council