

Your contact details

Your details

Organisation:	
Name:	Dr DAVID SHREEVE
Address:	
Postcode:	
Telephone:	
Email*:	

Your agent's details (if you have one)

Organisation:	
Name:	
Address:	
Postcode:	
Telephone:	
Email*:	

***We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, please provide an email address if you have one – where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.**

This response contains pages including this one (please indicate).

If you have any questions, or wish to be removed from our consultation database, please call the SLDC Development Plans team on tel: **01539 793388**.

Please not registered on line but unable to access on line response, hence postal response. I trust however it will receive due consideration since I gather the consultation period has been extended until 8th Jan 2016.

If you would like a copy of this document in another format such as large print, Braille, audio or in a different language, please call **01539 733333** or email **customer.services@southlakeland.gov.uk**



Arnside & Silverdale Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) Development Plan Document (DPD)

Issues and Options Consultation

Consultation Response Form

Please use this form to comment on the AONB DPD Issues and Options Discussion Paper, draft SA Scoping Report and the sites put forward for consideration. **Please complete a separate sheet for each section or site you wish to comment on** and, if appropriate, give the question number you are commenting on.

Please indicate which section of the Issues and Options Discussion Paper your response relates to:

Section	Tick (✓) as appropriate
1. Introduction	
2. Background	✓
3. Evidence base	✓
4. Vision and objectives	
5. Policy Issues	
6. Options for meeting the objectives and delivering the vision	✓
7. Delivery of development	

Please make your comments below on the section you have selected.

As relevant, please make reference to:

- the **paragraph number** you are referring to;
- your preferred **option**
- the **question number** asked in the Discussion Paper that you are responding to
- the **reference number** of the site you are commenting on

Paragraph Number:	2.18 5.12 6.2
Preferred Option:	Table 1
Question Number referred to:	Q6-Q8
Site reference Number:	5 41 46-50

Your comments

- Kayer Nursery: S46 also includes S41. S46 area greater than 0.5 hectares and S46 site of 10 or more houses need special consideration
- Whinney Fold: S46 site greater than 10 houses and virtually merges with S46 to make total redevelopment by S46 + S56
- Both sites are partly waterlogged and poor drainage for septic tank fluid
- P19-20 Q6-Q8 Affordable housing proportion should be 10% and for local people. Housing type is small houses 2 bedroom. Already too many 4-5 bedroom properties Grit Valley.
- P24 S.18 local employment restricted and limited location for rural industry
- P27 Table 1 Policy Dm42
Silverdale Coking public transport which would be significantly affected by removal of shuttle bus service to railway station. In addition rail services curtailed.
- (Continue on a separate sheet if required)

Are there any topics or issues that you think we have missed or that you wish to raise?

Drainage of fluid from septic tanks. Area now has problems with fluid drainage from some parts of the village. The Whinney Fold development S20 could aggravate already draining down Shore Road to the shore. S54 adjacent to open spaces S67 - S61 and intrusive. Needs to be reduced in area

S43 Enslade field development would restrict recreational area

S70 Railway goods yard - better developed for car parking especially if shuttle bus service withdrawn. Would encourage people to use rail public transport.

(Continue on a separate sheet if required)

Arnside & Silverdale Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)
Development Plan Document (DPD)

149.

Issues and Options Consultation

Consultation Response Form

Do you have any comments to make on the Draft Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report (Nov 2015) associated with the Issues and Options Discussion Paper?

This needs very serious thought and judgement in considering development in what is the smallest AONB in the country. Although the number of houses being considered for the area of 72 in number appears to be at variance with the housing needs survey of 26 houses needs further explanation than because the survey considered 5yr need rather than 10-15 year need.

(Continue on a separate sheet if required)

Thank you for your views and suggestions.

We would be grateful if you could complete and return the Equalities Monitoring Form below.

