

Your contact details USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)			
Organisation:	Organisation:			
Name: S & A Shepherd	Name:			
Address:	Address:			
Postcode:	Postcode:			
Tel:	Tel:			
*Email:	*Email:			
We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.				
This response contains pages includi	ng this one.			
Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.				

If you have any questions, or no longer wish to be consulted on the South Lakeland Local Development Framework, please call the Development Plans Team on tel: 01539 717490.

Completed forms can be sent to:

Development Strategy Manager South Lakeland District Council South Lakeland House Lowther Street Kendal **LA9 4DL**

Comments about suggested site allocations (and other map designations)

Please use this form to comment on emerging options and other sites as they appear on the settlement maps. Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

Which site o	r allocation do	you wish to comm	ent on?
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Map Num ber (e.g. 11)	Site reference number (e.g. R62)	Other designation – If you want to comment on something that doesn't have a site reference (e.g. development boundary, town centre boundary, green gap) please describe it here
Allithwaite	31	R21	

Do you support, oppose or support in part the suggested allocation or designation? (delete as appropriate)

I do not support **the suggested** site allocation/designation **for the following use(s)** Housing/employment/retail/community uses

other (specify).....

Please explain your reasons (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

The site is unsuitable for any development as it is a valuable piece of open countryside with a Public Footpath through it that is well used by locals, young groups, walkers and rambling clubs from all over the country.

The access to and from this site would be onto Cartmel Road that is already very congested and dangerous as most of the way to Cartmel it is little more than single track – two cars can hardly pass each other, let alone the buses, farm vehicles, large lorries etc that regularly use it. It is very dangerous to walk or cycle along. Going from this site through the village is also dangerous as there are always cars parked down one side – they haven't anywhere else to park – so that way the road is no better than single track either. The school, children's playground and church are all on the side of this road. More vehicles would cause more problems and accidents. Emergency vehicles already struggle to get to where they are urgently needed. The access onto the B road from Grange to Flookburgh is poor and turning off this road into Church Road is worse for seeing oncoming traffic, 'near misses' often occur.

The water pressure in this area is already very poor without any more houses using 'X' no of litres a day. The electricity is also not always reliable. The field floods when it rains and the surface water from the road drains into it.

Any development here would be very visible coming from Cartmel and would spoil the view of the village, especially as such a large estate is proposed. The view from the village across this field towards Wart Barrow Fell and the Lake District Fells is greatly admired and should be preserved NOT lost.

How to suggest sites which do not appear on the maps
If you want to suggest a site that does not appear on the maps please provide a map with the site outlined in red. Please state the uses which you propose allocating the site for and explain your reasoning. Also, please include the name of the landowner if known.

Comments about community facilities in your area

New development can provide benefits to communities through enabling the delivery of improved or new community facilities (for example, play areas, allotments, green space, car parks, traffic management, pedestrian and cycle links, health and education facilities and community centres etc).

Do you think that your area needs new or improved community facilities?
If so, what sort of facilities and where?
If so, what sort of facilities and where? Please explain the types of improved and/or new community facilities you feel your community may need in the next 15 years (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary).
Comments about the documents and approach

Please respond here if you have any comments to make about the documents and approach. Please indicate the name of the document, page number, paragraph number or policy reference (where applicable) by ticking the appropriate box.

Please complete one of these sheets for each specific comment you want to make on each document.

Which document do you wish to comment on? (tick one)						
Land		Sustainability	Scoping	Retail	Settlement Fact	Other (please specify)**
Allocation		Appraisal	Report	Topic Paper	File (which?)	
What part of this document do you wish to comment on?						
Page:		Paragraph no:		Policy:		
				(where applicate	ole)	
Do you support or oppose this part of the document?						
I support /do not support/support in part this part of the document.						
Please explain your reasons (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)						

Thank you for your views and suggestions. Electronic copies of the form can be downloaded from www.southlakeland.gov.uk/landallocations

^{*} Note the Land Allocations Document is the main document that includes the emerging site options and maps. It also includes proposals for open space and employment land designation, town centre and retail boundaries, green gaps and development boundaries.

^{**} Other documents include the Interim Consultation Statement, Appropriate Assessment Screening Report and the South Lakeland Gypsies, Travellers and Show People Accommodation Study (Final Draft).