



Land Allocations Consultation Room to Live, Space to Breathe

Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)
Organisation:	Organisation:
Name: JOHN LANE	Name:
Address:	Address:
	Postcode:
	Tel:
	*Email:

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.


This response contains pages including this one.

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

If you have any questions, or no longer wish to be consulted on the South Lakeland Local Development Framework, please call the Development Plans Team on tel: 01539 717490.

Completed forms can be sent to:

**Development Strategy Manager
South Lakeland District Council
South Lakeland House
Lowther Street
Kendal
LA9 4DL**



Comments about suggested site allocations (and other map designations)

Please use this form to comment on emerging options and other sites as they appear on the settlement maps. Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

Which site or allocation do you wish to comment on?			
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Map Number (e.g. 11)	Site reference number (e.g. R62)	Other designation – If you want to comment on something that doesn't have a site reference (e.g. development boundary, town centre boundary, green gap) please describe it here
Heworth & Heasgill	23		

Do you support, oppose or support in part the suggested allocation or designation? (delete as appropriate)


I ~~support~~ /do not support /~~support in part~~ the suggested site allocation/designation for the following use(s) Housing/employment/retail/community uses/open space/ other (specify).....

Please explain your reasons (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

In my opinion SLDC are putting the cart before the horse in its approach to housing policy. They seem to have plucked a number out of the air and then imposed quotas on the various villages without regard to local conditions. Surely the correct approach would be to assess the likely demand for housing over the period, which would largely depend on the number of jobs available. For example if a large scale employer was attracted to the region this would affect the demand. To suggest (as was done in a recent issue of the Council newspaper) that people could commute to Barrow, Carlisle and

Skipton is pure phantasy.

continued on attached sheet.



 15 MAR 2011

 REVS & BENS

Continued from previous sheet.

The present infrastructure of the village (lack of shops and amenities, inadequate access roads) would not support the number of houses proposed. Such large scale building would completely alter the character of the village. I consider a total of not more than 30/40 additional houses in small groups as infilling single houses would be appropriate.

