

PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.

*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.

*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.

*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.

*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?

*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE3473	ALL INCLUDING R689 ULVERSTON	LESLIE PYLE			Excellent petition ✓/DB
LE3474	ALL PROPS.	JAMES AIREY			Please listen. ✓/DB (dlr)
LE3475	ALL AREA	LESLEY TOWNSEND			Please consider if the number of houses is excessive for this area - I'm not a NUMB* just concerned about numbers ✓/DB
LE3475	ALL ULVERSTON	Beryl JEFFERSON			Think of employment or lack of ✓/DB
LE3475	ALL ULVERSTON	MIRIAM KITTS			The environmental impact would be ridiculous. Flooding. Reurbish OLD first! ✓/DB
LE3478	ALL.	SUE GREEN			ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT. ✓/DB
LE3479	ALL	TRUDIE KIRKPATRICK			THREAT TO FARM LAND/ JOBS ✓/DB
LE3480	ALL	Kerry DUCKWORTH			Threat to farm land jobs ✓/DB
LE3481	ALL	PAT TURNER			Threat to farm ✓/DB
LE3482	ALL	Brenda GRANGE			Environmental effect. (DB)

DISTRICT COUNCIL
RECEIVED
- 7 APR 2011
RESOURCES

PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.

*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.

*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.

*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.

*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?

*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE 3483	R689UL VM	EMMA TAYLOR			
LE 3484	R689UL VM	At Howard Taylor			STAY GREEN INSTEAD OF allowing individuals to make millions while others suffer.
LE 3485	R689UL VM	SOAN DICKINSON			
LE 3486	R689UL VM	Ken DICKINSON			
LE 3487	R689UL VM	PATRICK WEATHERS			
LE 3488	R689UL U.M.	MAUREEN WEATHERS			
LE 3489	R689UL VM	STEVE WHITB			
LE 3490	" 71	DIANNE LEWIS			
LE 3491	" "	NEAL TYSON			

RESOURCES

PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.

*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.

*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.

*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.

*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?

*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE3492	R689 ULVM	Sabina Garnett			Build Somewhere else DB
LE3493	R689 ULVM	Tony Garnett			Its farm land not building land. DB
LE3494	R689 ULVM	DAVE CAULFIELD			INCREASE IN TRAFFIC NOT SAFE. DB
LE3495	ALN	SUSAN SPENCE			the Green site until consent to change DB
LE3496	ALL	PAUL MAN			" DB
LE3497	R689 ULVM	GERNARD WILSON			YET AGAIN! DB
LE3498	R689 ULVM	HELEN HARLEY			WHAT HAPPENED TO THE GREEN GAP AND WHERE ARE THE JOBS DB
LE3499	R689 ULVM	MOIRA OAKLEY			↑ as above DB
LE3500	R689 ULVM	RAYMOND OAKLEY			DB
LE3501	R689 ULVM	MILDA WILLIAMS			DB
LE3502	R689 ULVM	ELLEN BRAY			DB

SOUTH LAKE
DISTRICT COUNCIL
RECEIVED
-7 APR 2011

RESOURCES

ll

PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.

*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.

*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.

*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.

*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?

*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE3503	R697m	A COLLAR			Where will all the children go to school and ULVERSTON would not be a small market town number of houses would overwhelm it.
LE3504	R697m	J. THOMSON			
LE3505	R697m	AUCK LUTTER			
LE3506	R697m	BRIAN ASHLIN			
LE3507	R697m	DEB LANDER			
LE3508	R697m	M. TROUGHTON			
LE3509	R697m	GEORGE TROUGHTON			
LE3510	R697m	PAUL DOHERTY			
LE3511	R697m	Margaret Doherty			
LE3512	R697m	Jacky Bolton			

SOUTH LAKE LAND
 DISTRICT COUNCIL
 RECEIVED
 -7 APR 2011
 RESOURCES

PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

- *The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.
- *The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.
- *We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.
- *Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.
- *Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?
- *The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g. all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
		MARIE WILSON	21 Birchwood Drive	AA Wilson	
LE3513	R697M	Clive Wilson			DB
LE3514	R697M	MARIE WILSON			DB
LE3515	R697M	BARBARA THOMAS			DB
LE3516	R697M	CHRISTINE BAALHAM			DB
LE3517	R697M	Key BRANTON			DB
LE3518	R697M	JANE LONGTON			DB
LE3519	R697M	J. Graham			BRING JOBS BACK TO ULVERSTON
LE3520	R697M	N.H. BARNETT			DB
LE3521	R697M	F. BARNETT			DB
		S. BROADBENT			DB
LE3522	R697M	R. Broadbent			DB

- 7 APR 2011

RESOURCES

PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

- *The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.
- *The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.
- *We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.
- *Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.
- *Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?
- *The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE3523	R689 ULVM	LEE PARKINSON			DB
LE3524	R689 ULVM	Xinet Ireland			DB
LE3525	R689 ULVM	Town GARSTANG			DB
LE3526	R689 ULVM	KAROL GARSTANG			DB
LE3527	R689 ULV	P CRAWFORD			DB
LE3528	R689 ULY	W Crawford			DB
LE3529	ALL	DEBS YATES			DB
LE3530	ALL	G. YATES			DB
LE3531	ALL	REBECCA LEELE			DB
LE3532	ALL	DORIS DODD			DB

THE LAKELAND
DISTRICT COUNCIL
RECEIVED

- 7 APR 2011

RESOURCES

PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

- *The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.
- *The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.
- *We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.
- *Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.
- *Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?
- *The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE3533	ALL MARTIN SMITH			UNITS INDUSTRIAL HAVE ALREADY BEEN BUILT AND NOT USED - THE DRAINS WILL NOT COPE. ALSO THERE IS NO WORK IN THE AREA. DB
LE3534	ALL LOUISE TAYLOR			NO WORK IN AREA, CITY WELLING OFF. HEAVY CITIES EMPTY AND OTHER PREMISES. DB
LE3535	ALL Anthony Bryson			This sort of development is needed more in <u>Barrow</u> DB
LE3536	ALL Michael Christman TAYLOR			THERE IS NOT ENOUGH WORK FOR PEOPLE AS YET. WE DON'T NEED MORE HOUSES. DB
LE3537	ALL Judith Hanson			Where are the jobs? DB This development should be in <u>Barrow</u>
LE3538	ALL AURIL SWEETING			Totally unnecessary DB
LE3539	ALL JOHN EDWARDS			A SMALL TOWN WILL NOT MANAGE! DB
LE3540	ALL BRIDGET EDWARDS			DB
LE3541	J.H. CLARY			DB
LE3542	ALL SANDY KIRTON			DB

- 7 APR 2011

RESOURCES

NORTH LAKELAND
DISTRICT COUNCIL
RECEIVED

PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.

*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.

*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.

*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.

*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?

*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE3543	R689 ULVM	RYAN HODGSON			DB
LE3544	R689 ULVM	BRIAN ATHERSKITH			DB
LE3545	R689 ULVM	Sue Roper			DL
LE3546	R689 ULVM	JEAN WICKS			DB
LE3547	R689 ULVM	BARRY WICKS			DB
LE3548	R689 ULVM	KEVIN ROPER			DB
LE3549	R689 ULVM	COLIN DAVIES			DB
LE3550	R689 ULVM	Tracey Vickers			DB
LE3551	R689 ULVM	STUART VICKERS			DB
LE3552	R689 ULVM	Debbie Parkinson			DB

SOUTH LAKELAND
DISTRICT COUNCIL
RECEIVED

- 7 APR 2011

RESOURCES