

## PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

- \*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.
- \*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.
- \*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?
- \*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2875	R689 ULVM + ALL SOUTH ULVERSTON AREA	CATHERINE SAUNDERS			Farm jobs will be lost @ R689 ULVM Amount of development is <b>DB</b> excessive. Traffic will be awful
LE2876	R689 ULVM + ALL SOUTH ULVERSTON	CURIS HOUSTON			local traffic will be excessive Amount of development is over <b>400</b> on
LE2877	R689 ULVM ALL SOUTH ULVERSTON	JEAN CROSS			TOO INTENSIVE FOR THE SIZE OF ULVERSTON - DE-VALUE MY OWN PROPERTY! <b>DB</b>
LE2878	R689 ULVM ALL SOUTH ULV	COLIN CROSS			DE-VALUE PROPERTY LOSE VIEW! <b>DB</b>
LE2879	R126M	Jean Yates			<b>DB</b>
LE2880	R242	MARLENE CROWK			Look elsewhere please. It wasn't good enough for Kendal - why is it good enough for us? traffc problem <b>DB</b>
LE2881	R242	Jayne Smith			<b>DB</b>
LE2882	R126M	KAREN HARRIS			TOO EXCESSIVE COUNCIL TAX IS LIKELY TO INCREASE <b>AB</b>
LE2883	R126M	L Wearing			<b>AB</b>
LE2884	R689 ULV	Alice Taylor			Farm jobs will be <b>DB</b>

SOUTH LAKELAND  
DISTRICT COUNCIL  
RECEIVED

-7 APR 2011

RESOURCES

## PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

- \*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.
- \*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.
- \*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?
- \*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2885	R689 ULVM R126 M R691 ULV R242 R697M	EM WELLS			
LE2886	R689 ULVM R692 ULVM RN131M R242 R697M	D. K. WEUS			ALSO R691 ULV, RN134 and R696 ULV
LE2887	R689 ULVM (ALL)	N. J. BARRETT			All need re thinking - at Way to Big - WHERE ARE THE JOBS!
LE2888	R689 ULVM	C GREENWOOD			
LE2889	ALL	G. BENJAMIN			
LE2890	ALL	E. SPENCER			
LE2891	ALL SOUTH SITES eg R126M	I FIFE			ALSO R689 ULVM
LE2892	R689 ULVM	A. COOPER			
LE2893	R689 ULVM	B. COOPER			
LE2894	R689 ULVM + ALL CROFTLANDS	I. M. SAUNDERS			TWO MUCH GOOD FARM LANDS BEING LOST - WITH COST SUBS. WILL INCREASE TRAFFIC

DISTRICT COUNCIL  
RECEIVED  
- 7 APR 2011  
RESOURCES

### PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

- \*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.
- \*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.
- \*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?
- \*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2895	R692ULVM	FOXON			The whole project is over intensive with the area plus no sewerage system <span style="float: right;">AB</span>
LE2896	ALL/ R692ULVM	TEPER			The infrastructure can't support this size of development. The town's character will be ruined. Some sites aren't suitable. The majority of people DON'T WANT THIS. <span style="float: right;">AB</span>
LE2897	ALL/ R692ULVM	FERGUSON			As above. <span style="float: right;">AB</span>
LE2898	ALL/ R692ULVM	GILPIN			MORE HOUSES, MORE TRAFFIC MORE NOISE. NO THANK YOU. <span style="float: right;">AB</span>
LE2899	ALL/ R692ULVM	GILPIN			SPOIL CHARACTER OF TOWN. AS ABOVE. <span style="float: right;">AB</span>

PLANNING DEPARTMENT  
 D. GARDNER  
 RECEIVED  
 - 7 APR 2011  
 RESOURCES

## PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

- \*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.
- \*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.
- \*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?
- \*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2900	ULVERSTON SOUTH R690ULV	TON JACKSON			a) Ulverston: planed Increase will be 29% over the Next 14 Years
LE2901	RN182 R 126M R 691ULV	DIANE JACKSON			b) Development is planed outside the boundary lines.
LE2902	R 33 R 242 R 697	SARAH JACKSON			c) None of this is planed for Brownfield sites as the Strategic Policy Guidelines.
LE2903	D:Ho	MARK JACKSON			Cannot understand how Ulverston can accommodate extra services needed ie health, jobs etc.
LE2904	R 690ULV R 126M R 691ULV R 697 611M	JENNAN LYDON			The area cannot cope with the amount of extra houses these properties will create
LE2905	"	EMMA LYDON			TOO MANY HOUSES JOBS FIRST!
LE2906	R690ULV ULVERSTON SOUTH	ELENA DERRY			
LE2907	"	JAMES Hibbert			
LE2908	"	Michael Hibbert			

RESOURCES

## PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

- \*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.
- \*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.
- \*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?
- \*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2909	Ulswick Road	ENA POSTLETHWAITE			Cross traffic. PR
LE2910	ULSWICK ROAD	DAVID LAWSON			START TO RIBBON DEVELOPMENT. DB
LE2911	ULSWICK ROAD	JEAN LAWSON			Flooded field PR
LE2912	COLT HOUSE LANE	COLIN SUGARS			Small Hamlet will be completely surrounded by modern houses will be overgrown. PR
LE2913	COLT HOUSE LANE	MARGARET SUGARS			Flooded field - too many cars PR
LE2914	COLT HOUSE LANE	TRACY MCWHITER			Flooded field PR
LE2915	COLT HOUSE LANE	DAMIAN COATES			Flooded field PR
LE2916	COLT HOUSE LANE	WILLIAM WICK KIRKES			Preserve the countryside. PR
LE2917	COLT HOUSE LANE	RICHARDA ADA ROSSON			

- 7 APR 2011

RESOURCES

## PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

- \*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.
- \*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.
- \*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?
- \*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

	Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2918		WILLIAM. GOTOBED.	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
LE2919	ALL	JOYCE.E. GOTOBED.	[REDACTED]		Jobs are more important than housing to start with.
LE2920		MARY DOWES	[REDACTED]		
LE2921		JEAN LAMBERT	[REDACTED]		Wild life will be affected.
LE2922		ARTHUR LAMBERT	[REDACTED]		Too much traffic as it is.
LE2923		BEN SMITH	[REDACTED]		JOBS NOT HOUSES
LE2924		EILEEN WIGGANS	[REDACTED]		Will be too much traffic on Ulverston St
LE2925		IRIS MIRKBY	[REDACTED]		
LE2926		SUSAN WOODWARD	[REDACTED]		FAR TOO MUCH TRAFFIC NOW AND THE DRAINS WILL BE CONSTANTLY FLOODED
LE2927		SOUTH LAKE DISTRICT COUNCIL RECEIVED 17 APR 2011	[REDACTED]		NO BENEFIT TO THE TOWN. ONLY TO THE DEVELOPERS

## PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

- \*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.
- \*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.
- \*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?
- \*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2928	GEORGE WILLIAMSON			RB
LE2929	NINIFRID BOWDEN			RB
LE2930	Janet Crockett			RB
LE2931	SARAH JACKSON			RB
LE2932	N.D. McArthur			RB
LE2933	E FOXCROFT			RB
LE2934	R. HARRISON			RB
LE2935	J SHATWELL			RB
LE2936	J. SHATWELL			RB
LE2937	M. [Signature]			RB

- 7 APR 2011  
RESOURCES

## PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

- \*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.
- \*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.
- \*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.
- \*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?
- \*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2938	D. GEORGE			
LE2939	JUNE STEWART			
LE2940	T.W. COLLE			
LE2941	JEAN HOSKINS			
LE2942	ALAN HOSKINS			
LE2943	RICHARD CHATERTON			
LE2944	J. BAKER			
LE2945	Joyce Bannan			
LE2946	GEORGE & BRENDA JACKSON			
LE2947	IAN & RACHEL WATTS			

SOUTH LAKE LAND  
 DISTRICT COUNCIL  
 RECEIVED  
 - 7 APR 2011  
 RESOURCES



## PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

\*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.

\*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.

\*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.

\*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.

\*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?

\*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2948 ✓ Traffic Flooding	CHRISTOPHER DRAKE	37	[Redacted]	
LE2949 ✓	JOHNSON FRED	4	[Redacted]	
LE2950 ✓	B. M JACKSON, A		[Redacted]	
LE2951 ✓	HOWARD WILSON	5	[Redacted]	
LE2952 ✓	TOM PARKER		[Redacted]	
LE2953 ✓	CAROL PARKER		[Redacted]	
LE2954 ✓	R GILL		[Redacted]	MAIN SEWER SINK ULVERSTON IS AT MAX FLOW
LE2955 ✓	THELMA MOUNTFORD		[Redacted]	
LE2956 ✓	MILLER		[Redacted]	

SOUTH LAKELAND  
DISTRICT COUNCIL  
RECEIVED

-7 APR 2011

RESOURCES

## PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

\*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.

\*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.

\*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.

\*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.

\*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?

\*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2957	NORMA WHITTAKER			This site has been refused building once as not suitable
LE2958	Denise Walker			
LE2959	Keith Walker.			
LE2960	BRUCE HOLME			
LE2961	Wendy			
LE2962	SHIRLEY LOAD			Refused TWICE BEFORE WHAT IS DIFFERENT
LE2963	BARBARA POSTLETHWAITE			Flooding due to geological fault
LE2964	KEITH FAIRBAIRN			Already turned down by Government Inspector in 1990.
LE2965	JACQUELINE BARFIELD			ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES. DAMAGE TO NATURAL WATER COURSES, TRANSPORT ISSUES
LE2966	ARTHUR BARFIELD			AS FURNESS IS A LONG LEUL-DE-SAC; TO ENCOURAGE INDUSTRY TO AREA WOULD NEED MASSIVE INVESTMENT IN ROAD LINK - SO BRINGING INDUSTRY ISN'T FEASIBLE FOR NUMBERS OF HOMES ENVIAGED

SOUTH EAKELAND  
DISTRICT COUNCIL  
RECEIVED

- 7 APR 2011

RESOURCES

## PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

\*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.

\*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.

\*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.

\*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.

\*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?

\*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2966	BRIAN WHITTAKER	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Not enough schools, doctors, dentist for such a development
LE2967	GRAHAM & SUE LLOYD	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Flooding concerns - drains/sewage.
LE2968	CLIFF and BETTY FROST	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Localism bill - budget 2011 Insufficient jobs - why spoil the boundaries of this market town. Leave Ulverston alone!!
LE2969	JUGALING	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	LOCATION NOT SUITABLE BECAUSE OF FLOODING
LE2970	Hardy	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
LE2971	Ruth Ardron	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Not in agreement for above reasons and numerous others.
LE2972	MARGARET > AV. DEON	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NOT IN AGREEMENT
LE2973	BRENDA + DAVID BINNS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	AREA NOT SUITABLE (FLOODING)
LE2974	LEN + HEATHER ROWLINSON	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
LE2975	M S GRAY & MARY KAPPA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	AREA FLOODS (GREENFIELD) SITE

SOUTH LAKELAND  
DISTRICT COUNCIL  
RECEIVED

- 7 APR 2011

RESOURCES



## PETITION AGAINST HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN ULVERSTON

I, a resident of Ulverston, wish to register through this petition, my objection to SLDC's excessive plans for housing development in and especially around the outskirts of Ulverston. The outlook and feel of Ulverston would change from a small, attractive Market Town, to an urban sprawl.

My points of objection are:

\*The amount of housing (1,264 houses) is excessive, especially in the light of diminishing employment in the town.





\*The volume of traffic created by this extra housing would be intolerable.

\*We would lose important farmland, necessary for grazing and growing winter feed, thus threatening the livelihoods of our local farmers, and local food production.

\*Some of the sites under the proposal are renowned for flooding.

\*Some of the sites under the proposal have already been refused planning permission in the past, for good reasons, why have they been brought up again?

\*The capacity of the drains/sewage system is currently inadequate, and wouldn't cope with any additional developments.

Area of concern e.g all, and/or, code from map	Name-(Print)	Address	Signature	Other comments
LE2979 ULV SOUTH R690ULV R126M	John Stephens	2 NECK Cottages Colthouse Lane		SPILL OUTLOOK db
LE2980 ULV SOUTH R690ULV R126M	Kerry Stephenson	2 Neck Cottages Colthouse Lane		" db
LE2981 ULV SOUTH R690ULV R126M	GWEN KENNEDY	COLTHOUSE COLTHOUSE LANE		db
LE2982 ULV SOUTH R690ULV R126M	MARTIN KENNEDY	COLT HOUSE COLTHOUSE LANE		• NEED TO WORK AT BLUNFISH SITES FIRST • NUMBER OF EMPTY PROPERTIES IN + AROUND ULVERSTON.

SOUTH LAKELAND  
DISTRICT COUNCIL  
RECEIVED  
- 7 APR 2011  
  
RESOURCES