



Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details		Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation:		Organisation:	
Name: Mr I.W. & Mrs J. Kershaw		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Postcode:		Postcode:	
Tel:		Tel:	
*Email		*Email:	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

If you have any questions, or no longer wish to be consulted on the South Lakeland Local Development Framework, please call the Development Plans Team on tel: 01539 717490.

Completed forms can be sent to:

**Development Strategy Manager
South Lakeland District Council
South Lakeland House
Lowther Street
Kendal
LA9 4DL**

Comments about suggested site allocations (and other map designations)

Please use this form to comment on emerging options and other sites as they appear on the settlement maps. **Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.**

Which site or allocation do you wish to comment on?			
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Map Number (e.g. 11)	Site reference number (e.g. R62)	Other designation – If you want to comment on something that doesn't have a site reference (e.g. development boundary, town centre boundary, green gap) please describe it here
Bridge End, Old Hutton.		R632	
Do you support, oppose or support in part the suggested allocation or designation? (delete as appropriate)			
I do not support the suggested site allocation for the following use(s) Housing/employment/retail/community uses.			
Please explain your reasons (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)			
<p>Storm Water Drainage and Flooding: The field to the south east of R632 currently saturates during rainy periods, and any additional impermeable areas associated with development would increase run off and compound the flooding.</p> <p>Access to the proposed site: is presently barely acceptable for six properties. It may be expected that the majority of traffic for any development would approach from a westerly direction, necessitating a right turn into a narrow access road at the bottom of a steep hill. This would clearly exacerbate current problems with quarry traffic, and dramatically increase the volume of traffic passing the primary school at St Johns.</p> <p>Traffic - Parking: increased traffic and parking would bring further problems to an already over populated area. Each house currently has a minimum of 2 cars, which obviously increases with visitors and children's vehicles.</p> <p>Sewage: Low Meadow properties access communal sewage treatment plant through the proposed development site. Alternative access has to be agreed before any development potential can be proposed.</p>			

How to suggest sites which do not appear on the maps

If you want to suggest a site that does not appear on the maps **please provide a map** with the site outlined in red. Please state the uses which you propose allocating the site for and explain your reasoning. Also, please include the name of the landowner if known.

Comments about community facilities in your area

New development can provide benefits to communities through enabling the delivery of improved or new community facilities (for example, play areas, allotments, green space, car parks, traffic management, pedestrian and cycle links, health and education facilities and community centres etc).

Do you think that your area needs new or improved community facilities?

If so, what sort of facilities and where?

Please explain the types of improved and/or new community facilities you feel your community may need in the next 15 years (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary).

Comments about the documents and approach

Please respond here if you have any comments to make about the documents and approach. Please indicate the name of the document, page number, paragraph number or policy reference (where applicable) by ticking the appropriate box.

Please complete one of these sheets for each specific comment you want to make on each document.

Which document do you wish to comment on? (tick one)					
Land Allocations Document* <input type="checkbox"/>	Sustainability Appraisal <input type="checkbox"/>	Scoping Report <input type="checkbox"/>	Retail Topic Paper <input type="checkbox"/>	Settlement Fact File (which?) <input type="text"/>	Other (please specify)** <input type="text"/>
What part of this document do you wish to comment on?					
Page:	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph no:	<input type="text"/>	Policy: (where applicable)	<input type="text"/>
Do you support or oppose this part of the document?					
I support in part this part of the document .					
Please explain your reasons (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)					
Straight forward to use					

* Note the Land Allocations Document is the main document that includes the emerging site options and maps. It also includes proposals for open space and employment land designation, town centre and retail boundaries, green gaps and development boundaries.

** Other documents include the Interim Consultation Statement, Appropriate Assessment Screening Report and the South Lakeland Gypsies, Travellers and Show People Accommodation Study (Final Draft).

Thank you for your views and suggestions. Electronic copies of the form can be downloaded from www.southlakeland.gov.uk/landallocations

Old Hutton including Middleshaw and Bridge End

