

Your contact details

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)				
Organisation: None	Organisation:				
Name: Ian Hatwell	Name:				
Address:	Address:				
Postcode:	Postcode:				
Tel:	Tel:				
*Email:	*Email:				
*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.					
This response contains pages including this one.					
Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.					

If you have any questions, or no longer wish to be consulted on the South Lakeland Local Development Framework, please call the Development Plans Team on tel: 01539 717490.

Completed forms can be sent to:

Development Strategy Manager South Lakeland District Council South Lakeland House Lowther Street Kendal LA9 4DL

Comments about suggested site allocations (and other map designations)

Please use this form to comment on emerging options and other sites as they appear on the settlement maps. Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

Which site or allocation do you wish to comment on?						
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Map Number (e.g. 11)	Site reference number (e.g. R62)	Other designation – If you want to comment on something that doesn't have a site reference (e.g. development boundary, town centre boundary, green gap) please describe it here			
Kendal	1	R129				

Do you support, oppose or support in part the suggested allocation or designation? (delete as appropriate) OPPOSE

I do not support the suggested site allocation/designation for the following use(s) He	ousing
other (specify)	

Please explain your reasons (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

- 1. This would be a large development outside the existing built-up area. It would result in Kendal extending out of its valley, over the brow of a hill and becoming visible from Scout Scar, Cunswick Scar and part of LDNP. It would therefore have very significant visual impact and a detrimental effect on a valued local recreational amenity and also on the tourist industry, which is vital to the area.
- 2. Similarly, it would result in a loss of wildlife habitat some of the mature trees referred to in the 'Kendal Fact File' provide nesting for owls and there are bats and badgers in the area. A specific wildlife survey should be undertaken, because if bats have established roosts in any of the mature trees, this would prevent development.
- 3. There would be very significant traffic consequences, aggravating congestion at the Allhallows Lane / Highgate / Lowther Street junction, increasing the use of 'rat-runs' through Vicarage Park and Kirkbarrow, and increasing flows in the residential areas of Gillingate and Queens Road. The site is not close to existing bus routes, and its apparent proximity to the centre of Kendal is misleading: the steepness of Beast Banks makes it unattractive to pedestrians and very difficult for cyclists. Traffic issues have been quoted in the past by the planning department as grounds for refusing smaller developments than this one.
- 4. The site is close to a conservation area and the additional noise and traffic pollution would impact negatively on this.
- 5. There are major infrastructure costs. At various points in the main and supporting documents reference is made to the provision of gas and water supplies, sewage disposal, traffic and bus access and provision of schooling. There would be an enormous impact and loss of amenity for local residents caused by the installation of such services. Contrary to the statement in the Kendal Fact File, the site is overlooked by at least 5 properties to the south. Many more would be affected by the provision of these utilities and by the construction work.
- 6. With specific reference to site R129 (the easternmost of the fields), the eastern part of this site contains a natural gully which runs towards Blind Beck. In heavy rain, development of this area is likely to cause drainage and run-off problems. The use of soakaways to handle roof run-off could affect underground

drainage as the limestone bedrock lies just below the surface, and water upsurge may occur. If this site is approved, we (as residents below this gully) would feel obliged to seek legal advice to protect our property and interests.
7. In conjunction with proposed site E33 (separate comments submitted), this proposal would turn an attractive, rural approach to the town of Kendal and to the South Lakes into a suburban and industrial zone.
8. The Kendal fact file makes the following statement in relation to the <u>adjacent</u> site R143: "it is considered development in this location is likely to be too prominent in the wider landscape to the detriment of the existing landscape character and for this reason is not considered to be the most suitable location for development. In view of the above it is suggested the site not be considered an emerging site option for development."
Every word of this is equally applicable to site R129M, and I find the differing conclusions to be quite bewildering.
How to suggest sites which do not appear on the maps
If you want to suggest a site that does not appear on the maps please provide a map with the site outlined in red. Please state the uses which you propose allocating the site for and explain your reasoning. Also, please include the name of the landowner if known.

Comments about community facilities in your area

New development can provide benefits to communities through enabling the delivery of improved or new community facilities (for example, play areas, allotments, green space, car parks, traffic management, pedestrian and cycle links, health and education facilities and community centres etc).

Do you think that your area needs new or improved community facilities?
If so, what sort of facilities and where?
Please explain the types of improved and/or new community facilities you feel your community may need in the next 15 years (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary).
Comments about the documents and approach

Please respond here if you have any comments to make about the documents and approach. Please indicate the name of the document, page number, paragraph number or policy reference (where applicable) by ticking the appropriate box.

Please complete one of these sheets for each specific comment you want to make on each document.

Which document do you wish to comment on? (tick one)						
Land Allocation Docume		Sustainability Appraisal	Scoping Report	Retail Topic Paper	Settlement Fact File (which?)	Other (please specify)**
What part of this document do you wish to comment on?						
Page:		Paragraph no:		Policy: (where applicab	ole)	
Do you support or oppose this part of the document?						
I support /do not support/support in part this part of the document.						
Please explain your reasons (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)						

Thank you for your views and suggestions. Electronic copies of the form can be downloaded from www.southlakeland.gov.uk/landallocations

^{*} Note the Land Allocations Document is the main document that includes the emerging site options and maps. It also includes proposals for open space and employment land designation, town centre and retail boundaries, green gaps and development boundaries.

^{**} Other documents include the Interim Consultation Statement, Appropriate Assessment Screening Report and the South Lakeland Gypsies, Travellers and Show People Accommodation Study (Final Draft).