

From: Glessal [mailto:]
Sent: 13 April 2011 10:34
To: Development Plans
Subject: Planned development at R112

13/4/2011

Hello,

I oppose this development for these reasons.

United Utilities say the infrastructure cannot cope with the extra load.

The area of land is very wet being below the limestone outcrop of Hesketh wood. Indeed this water rushes through the roadside properties, then down Hags Lane (the roadside drains cannot cope with it).

The access is on a blind corner at the north-east end of the proposed development and cannot be improved without the destruction of ancient woodland.

Hags Lane is a bottleneck at the Cartmel end with no room for pavements or footways.

As for the "Affordable homes" route at £180k there are three houses in neighboring Flookburgh all at less than £150k which still remain unsold after several months.

This site is a lovely greenfield site and appears to be outside the core strategy which originally said the development should be centred on brown field sites.

This site is very important to local permanent and seasonal wildlife including Toads which are countless, Deer, Badgers and a variety of Bird-life as-well as a vast array of flora and fauna.

Finally, Cartmel is a beautiful village which is visited by lots of people all year round. Everyone I speak to all over this country knows where it is or has visited it. Why spoil it with dozens of new boxy houses when there are many sites with better road and transport links and importantly near to work opportunities of which there aren't too many in the area or within a 15 mile drive.

Regards,
Colin Glessal.