

**Subject:** FW: Land Allocation in Kirkby Lonsdale

---

**From:** Barbara Gent [mailto:]

**Sent:** 21- Jan- 11 15:29

**To:** Development Plans

**Subject:** Land Allocation in Kirkby Lonsdale

Dear Sir

I would like to make several points about these proposals:

1. The nature of Kirkby Lonsdale is its compact shape and size. As a result the town quickly becomes crowded. More houses means more traffic in a town where parking is a great problem.
2. The number of houses proposed for the town when one considers the present population would represent a far greater actual percentage than in say Kendal where an additional development would more easily be absorbed.
3. Our primary and secondary schools are at capacity and one has only to visit Queen Elizabeth's to see all the extra classrooms that have been built in the last few years and to visit at hometime to see the very heavy dangerous traffic in that area. This is something that I know has been mentioned many times. There is a serious accident waiting to happen there.
4. There are almost no green fields directly accessible in the town. Do we have to cover the county in tarmac and concrete?
5. Why is Kirkby Lonsdale such a mecca for tourists? It surely isn't to see a mini suburbia; enough of England is that anyway. Why kill the goose that lays the golden egg ?
6. Kirkby is meant to be a small pretty market town why spoil it to fit some government directive? Once destroyed the town cannot be made attractive again.
7. What about noise and light pollution? I thought councils were aware of these twin evils of 21st century Britain!

The trouble is that everyone realises that Kirkby is a pleasant town in which to live. The very reason for people wanting to share this will of course disappear and the place will please noone in the end.

Yours faithfully  
Barbara Gent

---

—  
This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.  
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

---

—