

Stephen Nelson }  
Wendy Nelson }

## Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

### 1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?	
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)

Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support ☐

Support in part ☐

Oppose ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

RN290. Acceptable except for traffic thro' village & past school.  
 R339 Acceptable - does not expand envelope of village. Traffic a problem.  
 RN73. Should be left for churchyard expansion.  
 RN72 Acceptable subject to traffic.  
 RN230 Too big. Intrusive. Lowest field only would be acceptable.  
 RN265 No objections.  
 RN224, 195, 86. Acceptable subject to serious improvement of the junction & provision of off-road parking for existing houses.  
 RN87 Access a serious problem. Otherwise acceptable.  
 RN261, 262 V. bad access. Low-lying land subject to flooding.  
 M32 Dangerous access onto road. Otherwise acceptable.  
 RN77, 78. V bad access onto road. Would increase problem of flooding.  
 RN79. Support subject to improvement of junction.  
 RN84 Represents unacceptable encroachment on green space between Allithwaithe & Grange.  
 RN347 Underlying geology is unstable. An unsuitable place to build.

SOUTH LAKELAND  
DISTRICT COUNCIL

- 9 SEP 2011

RESOURCES

## 2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

**Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)**

Support ☐

Support in part ☐

Oppose ☐

**Please explain your reasons/add your comments below** (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)