

Land Allocations Consultation **Room to Live, Space to Breathe****Consultation Response Form**

09 SEP 2011

POST ROOM

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)
Organisation:	Organisation:
Name: MATTHEW & ANNE HAWTHORN	Name:
	Address:
	Postcode:
	Tel:
	*Email:

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains 2 ~~pages~~ ^{Sides} including this one.



Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
KENDAL NORTH WEST	RN 299#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		

The proposed land use of this site poses a significant threat to our green spaces. Given the fragile state of our world, sustainability surely has to be one of our primary concerns. When considering a land use application, true sustainability gives equal weight to the interests of people, prosperity and places. We sincerely hope that the views of local residents are being fully considered and not just those of the landowners who are set to make substantial financial gains from the sale of their land. We also hope that proper applications of the principles of sustainability are being implemented and that the proposals are not weighted to financial gain.

We do not approve of extending the original proposed site for the same reasons we objected to site RN169M. We have been encouraged not to repeat these objections here, but the omission of these reasons in this response does not mean they do not still hold true for us. Please refer to our previous correspondence for full list of reasons against this alternative site proposal.