

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

| Your details | Your Agent's details (if you have one) | |
|--|---|--|
| Organisation: | Organisation: | |
| Natland & Oxenholme Village Hall | | |
| Management Committee | | |
| | Name: | |
| | Address: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Postcode: | |
| | Tel: | |
| | *Email: | |
| | | |
| This response contains pages including this one. | | |
| Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations supplied Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council | | |

FLLE 77

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

| - | • | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Which site do you wish to comment on? | | | | |
| Settlement (e.g. Natland) | | Site reference number (e.g. RN298#) | | |
| Natland | | RN256# and ON51# | | |
| Please indicate below whether this site be included in the Lar | | | | |
| Support □✓ | Support in part | | Oppose | |
| Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary) | | | | |
| RN256# | | | | |
| It is noted that this site was originally suggested for housing, car park and allotments and may, if granted for housing and car park, provide the finance for the construction of a village car park as a community infrastructure improvement. However we as the Management Committee of the village hall do not take a view on the suggested allocation for housing. | | | | |
| ON51# | | | | |
| This suggestion for a car park do There is a need for a car park in Green, outside the School and th Weddings, Craft Shows etc. and school at the village hall. This co Kendal bus service and could jed | the village which would al ne Church during times wh daily when children are 'b ongestion has caused con | leviate c nen there oussed' t | ongestion around the Village e are events taking place e.g. o/from the School and Pre- | |
| | n the hall almost always tr are not very well lit and, fo | ravels by or the old | car, especially during the winter ler person, not an attractive route | |

We would wish to be consulted on the details if the car park is to be constructed, particular over the

| access. Our thoughts are that the vehicular access should be from Oxenholme Lane opposite the school with only pedestrian access along the lane in front of the hall, for reasons of safety and the amenity of adjoining dwellings. The benefit would be that the parents of the school children could use the car park when collecting children and reduce the risk to the children due to the indiscriminate parking that occurs at present outside the School. The pedestrian access would allow for direct access to the Hall and Church. | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document: | | | | | |
| Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020? | | | | | |
| Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate) | | | | | |
| Support | Support in part 🗌 | Oppose 🔲 | | | |

| Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary) | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

3. <u>Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside</u>

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or

KLEFF

B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

| Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate) | | |
|--|---|--|
| A | В | |
| Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Thank you for your views and suggestions.