

South Lakeland Local Development Framework



Land Allocations Consultation Room to Live, Space to Breathe

Consultation Response Form DISTRICT COUNCIL

Your contact details

2 4 AUG 2011 If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation:	Organisation:	
Name: Robert S Fletcher	Name:	
Addres	Address:	
Ulvers		
Postco	Postcode:	
Tel: 01	Tel:	
*Email	*Email:	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

-	This response contains	pages including this one.

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when X it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement		Site reference number
	Ulverston	RN244#
Please indicate bel this site be include	ow whether you support, support in the Land Allocations docu	ort in part or oppose the suggestion that ment (please tick as appropriate)
Support	Support in part	Oppose X
Please explain you box if necessary)	r reasons/add your comments	below (continue on a separate sheet/expand

FCLE 50

1. A	ny proposed development on this site	e would massiv	ely encroach	on the Swarthmoo
Hall	buildings and effectively destroy thei	r 16th century	tranquility and	d attraction as a
relig	gious and tourist venue.			

- 2. Swarthmoor village would become effectively subsumed by Ulverston and lose its individuality and essential separate identity.
- 3. Traffic levels in the area are already too high in the area— extra housing would add to the congestion at the Cross-a-Moor junction with the A590 in one direction and add to traffic using Urswick Rd in the other direction. This latter location is already a site of frequent traffic collisions at the junction with Mountbarrow Rd.
- 4. Valuable and productive farmland would be lost. Land that occasionally is allowed to recover and become a meadow and a habitat for a wide variety of birds and other wildlife. This would further degrade the character of the town and the rural nature of our local environment.
- 5. Many other brownfield locations are available locally to which all developers should be pointed first before Ulverston's unique and attractive personality is irreparably damaged by unnecessary urbanisation.

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)			
Support	Support in part	Oppose	
Please explain you box if necessary)	r reasons/add your comments belo	w (continue on a separate sheet/expa	nd

It is hard to comment on the timespan because the implic	ations are not explained
However, the proposals seem premature and not well con least wait until the Government White Paper on localism is	sidered. The Council should at spublished later this year.
	1

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)		
A	ВХ	
Please explain your rea	sons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand	
It is not clear what is n the County and South I Ulverston.	neant by "Core Strategy policies". It looks like jargon but in essence Lakeland Councils seem remote and out of touch with local people in	

Thank you for your views and suggestions.

