



Land Allocations Consultation **Room to Live, Space to Breathe**

Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)
Organisation:	Organisation:
Name: HILARY SEED	Name:
[Redacted]	Address:
	Postcode:
	Tel:
	*Email:

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains 8 pages including this one.

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.



Land Allocations Consultation **Room to Live, Space to Breathe**
Consultation Response Form

How to make comments

You need fill out only one copy of your contact details. However, **please fill in a separate response form for each site or issue that you wish to comment on.** Please indicate in the box provided on the contact details form the total number of pages enclosed. Please complete the attached Equality Monitoring Form if you wish.

An electronic copy of this form is available at www.southlakeland.gov.uk/landallocations

Electronic forms or responses by email can be sent to developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Responses on paper copies of this form should be posted or faxed to:

Development Strategy Manager
South Lakeland District Council
South Lakeland House
Lowther Street
Kendal
LA9 4DL

Fax: 01539 717355

You may also hand in your form to the council offices at:

- South Lakeland House, Lowther Street, Kendal; or
- Ulverston Local Link (Town Hall)

If you require additional copies of the form please call 01539 717490 or email developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Internet access is available at your local library and at South Lakeland House, Kendal.

Please ensure that your comments reach the Council Offices at South Lakeland House, Kendal no later than Friday 9th September 2011.

Your contact details and privacy

Anonymous comments will not be accepted. Comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be available for public inspection. Your submitted comments will be used in the preparation of the LDF.

Contact details, signatures and private addresses will not be made public. Any data that you supply will be held in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

Viewing the relevant documents

The consultation document, which includes maps of the sites we would like comments on can be viewed at council offices and local libraries and downloaded from the Council website

Any questions?

If you need help completing the comments sheet, require further information or are unsure about any aspect of the consultation, our Development Plans Team will be pleased to advise.

Contact details are:

Tel: 01539 717490

Email: developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk



WA 11 FRA

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
ALLITHWAITE	R339 & RN73	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>The whole area would have a bad impact but a small amount of development would not have too bad an effect on the surroundings, especially if the housing could be in keeping with the Green Lane housing which mainly comprises bungalows. Strictly <u>NO</u> 3 storey developments should take place anywhere within the village or the surrounding villages. Access would <u>NEED</u> to be via Vicarage Lane and <u>NOT</u> by Green Lane which would bring more traffic up Church Road beyond the school. This is already <u>VERY</u> congested.</p>		

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support

Support in part

Oppose

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

The work that has gone into this project has taken up an enormous amount of time and has been upsetting to many of the villagers who, whilst appreciating that more homes are needed, think that more should be done to restrict the use of second homes in popular villages.

We feel that we need to let the situation settle down for a fair amount of time. 2020 will come round all too soon. The proposed increases that would already take place as a result of the present plan will increase the size of the village considerably as it is. There are less than 1000 people on the electoral roll in 2011. If we had wanted to live in a town, we would have chosen to do so before coming here.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
ALLITHWAITE	RN84	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>A small number of houses here would probably not impact greatly on either the nearby houses nor the view on entering the village. It would just extend the existing strip of houses on Holme Lane which is already seen on entering the vil- lage.</p>		

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support

Support in part

Oppose

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)



LAI FRA

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.
(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
ALLITHWAITE	RN224, 195, 86	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>Houses here would continue existing development to the west and it would not appear on paper to impact on the existing housing. The houses alongside the main road would continue to have an open aspect.</p> <p>More traffic travelling through the narrows would be a problem. I am reluctant to see traffic lights in a village but traffic calming would probably prove to be ineffective, especially as there is not a clear sight of the stretch of narrow road from one end or the other.</p>		

2. **Time Span of Land Allocations Document:**

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support

Support in part

Oppose

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

--



LAI 7RA

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
ALLITHWAITE	RN290	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>This field is seen on entering the village from the pleasant holiday area of Cartmel.</p> <p>It would definitely spoil the area of Templand if any development were to take place here.</p> <p>It also forms part of a pleasant and highly popular circular walk.</p>		

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		



LA11 FRA

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
ALLITHWAITE	M32	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>Infilling this region would not impact on the views of existing residents as long as it was kept to the southern edge and did not go to their rear.</p> <p>Part of the ground would need to be used to make a wide enough view of the main road.</p>		

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

--



LA 11 FRA

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.
(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. **Alternative Sites**

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
ALLITHWAITE	RN230	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>I have already put forward this region but want to modify my opinions.</p> <p>Developing a large part of the site would make an enormous impact for the majority of Allithwaite residents, especially those on the eastern side of Church Road. The field forms a pleasant aspect, but a strip of houses at the bottom end of the site, similar in appearance to the housing along Quarry Lane, could perhaps be tolerated.</p>		

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		



LA 11 FRA



1. Land Allocations Consultation Document: **Live, Grow & Breathe**

- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
ALLITHWAITE	RN77 & RN78	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>If the function of the former Guide-over-Sands [Yakkers] happened to fail and become housing in some form, then these two parcels of land would add to that area and could have improved access to the road.</p>		

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support

Support in part

Oppose

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

--

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

<p>Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)</p>	
<p>A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>B <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)</p>	
<p>It would be best if villagers could see what is envisaged for the future and could then make their objections or own proposals as has been the case for the rest of those under SHDC.</p> <p>I actually think that where land is not of scenic or agricultural value, SHDC should think to create altogether new villages such as happened with Ravenstoun.</p>	

Thank you for your views and suggestions.

This page is intentionally blank