Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation:	Organisation:	
Name: Sylvia Horsfall	Name:	
	Address:	
	Postcode:	
	Tel:	
	*Email:	
*We aim to minimise the amount of paper p supplied, future contact will be made electron	orinted and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is onically.	

This r	esponse contains pages including this one.
Z	Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to com	ment on?		_
Settlement			ference number
(e.g. Natland)		(e.g. RN298#)	
		RN322	#
Sedgwick			
Please indicate below whether this site be included in the Lan	you support, support d Allocations docume	in part or nt (please	r oppose the suggestion that e tick as appropriate)
Support	Support in part		Oppose
Please explain your reasons/ac box if necessary)	dd your comments bel	ow (conti	nue on a separate sheet/expand
I support this site for housing are currently 11 houses on the changed hands in the last 12 n restriction has just had the rest that houses fail to sell in Sedg for young people together with If there is a need for housing it holiday cottage accommodated. I think the site could be develousews and privacy and could a with tree planting it would hav services. There could also be children which would be an as	e market at the present nonths. The only hous striction lifted as no loc wick as elsewhere is the the jobs paying enough tis for social housing ton. Toped without intrusion allow for allotments as the minimal visual impaction of an all weat inclusion of an all weat inclusion.	time and se on the cal perso hat morto agh to afformation which can other well. If the cand is ather ball	market with a local only n wanted to buy it. The reason gages are not readily available ord them. nnot end up being sold as properties in respect of both he site is sensitively screened positioned near main utility park area aimed at older

L	
2.	Time Span of Land Allocations Document:
	Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?
P	lease indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span f the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)					
Support	Support in part	Oppose [
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary) I feel the period to 2025 is too long. I cannot see how anyone or any organisation can identify the housing/development needs of this large area over such a long period. I would rather the Council look at a shorter period to 2020 and get it right and be able to plan financially for the future. Too much money is being spent on long term consultations which can end up wasted if mistakes are made.					

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)					
A 📈	В				
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)					
communities especially should be able to have possibility of being continually presented with A small village/hamlet like Sedgwick needs to at the place as a whole and bearing in mind the street lighting), the width of roads, a single la rat run for Kendal. It needs also to take accommunities, the wildlife and the agricultural The Council should accept that, if developme sympathetically in the case of smaller settlem any appeal that they once had. There is also dormitories for Kendal leaving very little for the during the working week. This also has the eleaving local youngsters unable to afford to set the Council identifies land for development.	n applications for development. In have sensitive development planned looking the lack of services (e.g. shop, pavements, the low canal bridge and the fact that we are a sent of the culture and tradition of rural aspect. In the secessary, this has to be done the worry that small settlements can become the older residents as they become empty offect of pushing up prices of housing further stay in the village. I local people could have reassurance that the respecially if the government's 'presumption for				

Thank you for your views and suggestions.