

ON 56 #



Land Allocations Consultation Room to Live, Space to Breathe

Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)
Organisation:	Organisation:
Name: Christopher HORSFALL,	Name:
	Address:
	Postcode:
	Tel:

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.



Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Sedgwick	ON56#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support in part	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>This site suggested is 3 times the size of Sedgwick at least. It is far too big a potential infrastructure for the area to cope with.</p> <p>The potential road access is horrendous with one of the two main entrances on a very dangerous road-partially one lane- with numerous scrapes and a rat run from Kendal in work travelling times. The suggestion of large business traffic is unrealistic.</p> <p>A smaller scale project would be more appropriate with careful planning and the question of traffic and all local services resolved properly before a go ahead is given</p>		

--

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support ☒ support

Support in part ☐

Oppose ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

I would support the date being brought back to 2020. I think in these ever changing times 2025 is too longer timescale.

I would hope this has the effect of reducing the potential number of new dwellings being required in the village because of the shorter time frame.

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A ☒ support

B ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

I think it is very important that people who live in the village, and people who want to come and live here, have the certainty of knowing where likely developments may take place. This is best achieved via the land allocation document which will discuss proposal with the villagers before confirming sites.

Thank you for your views and suggestions.