Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)
Organisation:	Organisation:
Name: Christopher HORSFALL,	Name:
	Address:
	Postcode:
	Tel:

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This r	esponse contains 6 pages including this one.	
V	Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.	

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

- Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

to the previous con-	suitation: (1 loude fiete,				
Which site do you wish to	comment on?	 			
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)			
Sedgwick		RN322#			
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)					
Support Support	Support in part		Oppose		
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)					
RN322# is part of RN19 that I supported previously in the January/April 2011 consultation. If it could be proved there is need for additional housing in the village this is the optimum site. This suggested site is on the northern boundary of Sedgwick and is the most appropriate and natural site in the village.					
It has potential good access from two of the four main roads into Sedgwick. It has good access to all the services, water, sewerage, gas and electricity.					
It is on a relatively flat piece of land with room if required for allotments.					
Views from houses near would be minimally affected on the whole.					
It would cause the least disruption in the village.					
The owner of the land, Edmund Wadsworth, is agreeable to the development					

2. <u>Time Span of La</u> Should the Land	ind Allocations Document: Allocations document plan p	eriod remain 2003 – 20
Should the Land	nd Allocations Document: Allocations document plan period, for example, 2003-202	eriod remain 2003 – 20
Should the Land cover a shorter p	Allocations document plan period, for example, 2003-202	eriod remain 2003 – 20 20?
Should the Land cover a shorter p	Allocations document plan period, for example, 2003-202	eriod remain 2003 – 20 20?

ì

I would support the date being brought back to 2020. I think in these ever changing times 2025 is too longer timescale. I would hope this has the effect of reducing the potential number of new dwellings being required in the village because of the shorter time frame.

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)				
A Vsupport	В			
Please explain your reasons/add box if necessary)	your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand			
same and live here have the	people who live in the village, and people who want to ertainty of knowing where likely developments may ed via the land allocation document which will discust ore confirming sites.			

Thank you for your views and suggestions.