

and Allocations Consultation **Room to Live, Space to Exeath** 

## **Consultation Response Form**

## Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation:	Organisation:	
Name: Christopher HORSFALL,	Name:	
	Address:	
	Postcode:	
	Tel:	
*We aim to minimise the amount of paper possible of supplied, future contact will be made electro	rinted and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is nically.	
This response contains  pages including this one.		
Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations		
Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.		

## **Land Allocations - Further Consultation**

Please use this form to comment on:

- Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

William Site do you w	sh to comment on?	
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)
		RN280#
Sedgwick		
Please indicate below this site be included	w whether you support, suppo in the Land Allocations docun	t in part or oppose the suggestion that ent (please tick as appropriate)
Support	Support in part [	Oppose 🗹 Oppose
Please explain your box if necessary)	reasons/add your comments b	elow (continue on a separate sheet/expand
road with no footp	aths, poor visibility and a nu	s is not correct. It is a single track mber of bad bends. ndaries being highly visible on three
road with no footp  Housing would be	aths, poor visibility and a nu	mber of bad bends.
road with no footp  Housing would be	aths, poor visibility and a nu	mber of bad bends.
road with no footp  Housing would be	aths, poor visibility and a nu	mber of bad bends.
road with no footp  Housing would be	aths, poor visibility and a nu	mber of bad bends.

2. <u>Time Span of La</u> Should the Land	nd Allocations Document Allocations document plan	:: period remain 2003 – 202
Should the Land cover a shorter p	nd Allocations Document Allocations document plan eriod, for example, 2003-20 r you support, support in part or document (please tick as approp	period remain 2003 – 202 20? oppose a reduction in the tim

ł

and the second s	7
would support the date being brought back to 2020.I think in these ever changing mes 2025 is too longer timescale.	
would hope this has the effect of reducing the potential number of new dwellings eing required in the village because of the shorter time frame.	
	_

## 3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)		
A support	В	
Please explain your reasons/add box if necessary)	your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand	
come and live here, have the	people who live in the village, and people who want to ertainty of knowing where likely developments may ed via the land allocation document which will discuss fore confirming sites.	

Thank you for your views and suggestions.