SOUTH LAKELAND LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

LAND ALLOCATIONS FURTHER CONSULTATION

Further Consultation Response Form

from

ALLITHWAITE STEERING GROUP

With comments on:

The Settlement of Allithwaite
Map Number 31
Reference to all sites referred to on the map.

Contact details:

Barbara Copeland



The response includes 2 pages

Allithwaite Steering Group

Question 1

A second open day was organised on 3rd September where alternative sites were viewed. Since the last consultation the group had an informative walk around the sites with the development officer. This clarified their ideas but unfortunately they have not been able to share these ideas in a consultation with the village.

Additional findings are based on discussions with the development officer and informal discussions during the open day.

Land off Holme Lane and south of Quarry Lane

RN230 Create line of development to mirror Quarry Lane at bottom of site on low lying land. RN265 small development has been suggested

Access onto Holme Lane is good

Community Gain Footpath/cycle way link from Holme Lane to centre of the village could improve safety at the bottom of the square as identified as a key priority in village traffic survey.

Grange entrance to village

RN84 Development could complete Holme Lane and RN 79 could be hidden behind high hedge

Community gain - Traffic calming at village entrance / roundabout / pedestrian crossing

Bankfield off Jack Hill

R347 and RN82 - Could the village development line be altered to include this area.

Land west of Pheasant pub and North of Almond Bank

M32 Development should be kept back from the road but in the southern part of the field Community Gain – Footpath/ cycle way to centre of village. Traffic calming on bend for access which is poor.

Land at Beckside

RN261 and 262 very wet should parish council consider development boundary extension

Land west of Beckside and East of Allithwaite Lodge

RN37 and RN87 access via Beckside would be difficult but access could be considered onto Humphrey Head road which would need widening.

Flookburgh Road entrance to village

RN195 RN224 RN 86 Would improve the village entrance

Community gain could result in improved traffic control through the village (eg traffic lights on the narrows) and possibly footpath/cycle way link to village centre identified as a key priority in village traffic survey

Off road parking for homes in 'the narrows'

Land between Green Lane and Vicarage lane R339

Sympathetic development creating a village green at the Green Lane, Vicarage Lane and Boarbank Lane meeting point and which maintained the view up towards the church through the village should be further consulted on. The steering group feel that this site could be used to provide bungalows for the elderly (and possibly free up larger social housing homes for families.) Owner of Old Vicarage keen to expand care home facilities in this area Need to keep some land for possible cemetery expansion.

Land opposite Old Vicarage off Vicarage Lane RN72 – The Parish Council should consider if they want to alter the development line to include this area.

Land north of Templand Park RN290 In previous consultation this was identified as the second most important area of land to be maintained as an open space. High impact on homes in Templand Park and drumlin scenery destroyed. Adjacent to important footpath route

Land behind Yakkers (Guide over Sands pub) RN77 and RN78 – Very poor road access (Sites suggested when pub site was derelict)

The group still feels that the provision of rented affordable housing should be the priority with new homes bonus money carefully allocated to specific pre determined schemes as identified by the emerging village plan and future neighbourhood planning schemes

Question 2

The steering group feels that since a good deal of research and investigation has been done the land should be allocated up to 2025. This will remove the uncertainty that many home owners feel and developments near to them and which may be acting as a deterrent to house sales. We would hope that this can be done flexibly enough so that if any housing needs survey show any need to change this allocation or neighbourhood plan developed identifies different priorities then this could be incorporated. We still feel that sites should be carefully prioritised as to those providing most community gain and feel the parish council and community should be involved in this process

Question 3 – let those villages and hamlets concerned make the decision