

Development Plans Manager South Lakeand District Council South Lakeland House Kendal LA **9** 4 DL

Dear Sir

Local Development Framework

Objection to inclusion of sites RN154 and NM22

Site 154

There is no access to Parkside Road with out increasing the existing dangerous situation, note a blind summit is approximate 100 meters from the junction with the A684. It is also, almost in line with the entrance to the houses at Parkside. The easy bend from the Sedburgh Road allows traffic to enter Parkside Road at speeds above the 30mph restriction some of this tries to overtake cars slowing down to enter Parkside resulting in many near-miss incidents. A new additional entrance on to the road in this area would greatly add to this danger.

A number of mature, and protected, trees are on this site and must be retaind. Services to this site do not exist, and in particular, surface water drainage would increase the flooding problems at the railway bridge.

Site NM22

There is no access to Parkside Road from this site, the plan is incorrect.

Access to the A684 would be extremely difficult due to the site being considerably below road level. In addition, the A684 is steep and, with many tight bends, it is already, a dangerous road.

A stream runs though the site supplying water to three different owners' livestock. Pollution could be a major problem.

The site is subject to flooding from run-off water from the high ground above and also, that running down the A684.

The site is bounded to the east and south by woodland with many mature trees all of which must be retained

Development would increase the pressure on the sewerage and water drainage net work already with unresolved issues that discharge into the river Kent

The increase in traffic, both motorised and pedestrian, would increase the present problems.

The site, if developed, would not be in keeping with the surroundings and would detract from the amenities of local residents.

Both sites:

Would give rise to an unacceptable level of traffic.

Harm the character of the landscape, removing the green gaps in this area.

The development of the sites would be contrary to the recommendation of the Report of the Treweek Environmental Consultants and the Kendal Town Councils Landscape Character Assessment.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter.

Yours sincerely,

David Penlington Janet Penlington

