

## Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)
Organisation:	Organisation:
Organisation	ý
Name: MRS LARA MOATE	Name:
Name. MRS EXICA TO	Address:
	Postcode:
	Tel:
	*Email:
*We aim to minimise the amount of pap supplied, future contact will be made el	per printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address ectronically.
This response contains 2 pages in	ncluding this one.
Please tick the box if you would be present the procure of the pro	ald like us to notify you when the Land Allocations is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent

## Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

## 1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish t	o comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Ulverston Sou	th	M11M* (inc. E19#/MNG	
Please indicate below w	hether you support, supporthe Land Allocations docum	t in part o	oppose the suggestion that etick as appropriate)
Support	Support in part		Oppose 12
box if necessary)	교사이 교리되는 경우를 맞았다면 하다는 기를 모르게 보는 것 같다.	Loomen	t of MIIM# but not

- · Prefer no out of town retail as it would:
  - be detrimental to stisting shaps, cases of restaurants in Ulwerston town courte
  - briga Edra traffic from surrounding areas porhaps even taking customers away from Barrow a turness which sevely needs the retail mobilet.
- · Prefer no devolopment in green field areas unless it is sympathatic to the local architecture and lands cape. I doubt a retail superstone would fulfill this.

Ritton development along the A590 is not in keeping with unique and attractive aspects that Ulwerston bias just managed to retain. Ulwerston has charm specifically related to a small nearhetically pleasing town centre surrounded by hills and green areas. I believe it needs those attributes to remain altractive too new residents, tourn's and small businesses.

Green areas are never or rarely reinstated.

However I am not opposed to some development of much needed housing or small brusiness Centre such as Dultongate Business Centre aeross the road for MIAM# which is somewhat is liceoping with the areas character ie stone walls, planty of trees.

Time Span of Land Allocations Document:
 Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate) Oppose Support in part 🗹 Support [ Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

<b>X</b>	В	
lease explain your reasons	add your comments below (continue on a separate	sheet/expand

Thank you for your views and suggestions.