

FCLF 3



1st August 2011

Mr Dan Hudson
Development Strategy Manager
South Lakeland District Council
Lowther Street
Kendal

Ref: DH/60.12.43

Dear Mr Hudson

**LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK
LAND ALLOCATIONS – FURTHER CONSULTATION**

I refer to your letter of 28 July 2011 and would make the following observations about the alternative Sites put forward by respondents re **NATLAND**.

ON51# This would make an excellent site for a much-needed Car Park in the village, especially if it removes the excessive number of vehicles parked round The Green and outside the School. I **strongly support this suggestion**. The drivers of the buses on our recently-reinstated service have considerable difficulty negotiating the road outside the school and round The Green and have been know in desperation to come straight down Natland Road to Kendal.

RN256# If there has to be development behind the Village Hall, then so be it, but I question why the northern boundary extends beyond the last of the Council houses. Is this because it would be needed for a road access if houses were built on that site?

RN298# & RN303# - In my view it is quite ridiculous to extend Natland right up to the railway line. Who in their right mind would want to buy a house right next to a Main line railway? As the original Longmeadow Lane development was designed and built with extension in mind – and the appropriate hammerhead dead ends created - this is the logical place for any further housing and I would support development here as it would have the least impact on existing properties.

Having said this, I am strongly of the opinion that there has been **more than enough development** in Natland in the 20 years that I have lived here and sincerely hope that you will refuse any further planning applications by developers for our village. Enough is enough!

Yours sincerely



Jennifer Paley