

SOUTH LAKELAND
DISTRICT COUNCIL
RECEIVED

- 6 SEP 2011

REVS & BENS

September 2nd 2011

Mr Dan Hudson BSc (Hons) MRTPI
Development Strategy Manager,
South Lakeland House,
Lowther Street,
Kendal LA9 4DL

Your ref: DH/60.12.43

Dear Sir,

I thank you for your letter regarding alternative sites put forward by various people, you already have my comments on the previous proposals so my comments will in the main be brief.

E.15 I say no to all of the area marked, reason, mains sewer goes full length of the field and it has been known to flood.

EN.57 I would say yes, it is only a small area and may not interfere with the main sewer.

R.109 Not a practical site, doubtful for development as there is a mains sewer in the field which is connected to a pumping system.

R.14 No to this site, mains sewer runs through the field, there is a preservation order on the large tree which has a wide root spread, narrow road, ? would there be room on the site for vehicle parking)

R.39 No to housing.

R.445 I could support this site. (mains sewer crosses the site)

R.167 & RN221 On paper these sites look ideal for housing, but access to site which would pass a school where cars are regularly parked could be a difficulty, drainage would also require careful thought.

RN.251 Not suitable for development, continually standing in water, there is also a spring in the field, the water comes down from Heversham Head.

RN.252 Not an ideal site for development, a small site on the wrong side of a busy main road, there is also a water problem.

RN 296 A small site which could be developed.

RN 310 Not a good site and should not be considered.

RN 324 & RN 325 These are possible sites provided there is vehicle parking on the site and not on the road, it is already a hazard due to parking.

RN 316 & RN 326 Both these sites should not be considered as development sites, my reasons are fully explained in previous correspondence which you already have.

The period for the Land Allocations should not be shortened

Sites for development should not be considered for allocation in small villages and hamlets particularly where there are no facilities, ie Heversham.

I was born in the village in 1926, I have lived here all my life and would not like to see it spoilt by overdevelopment, there are a number of houses for sale at present.

yours sincerely