

## How to make comments

You need fill out only one copy of your contact details. However, please fill in a separate response form for each site or issue that you wish to comment on. Please indicate in the box provided on the contact details form the total number of pages enclosed. Please complete the attached Equality Monitoring Form if you wish.

An electronic copy of this form is available at www.southlakeland.gov.uk/landallocations

Electronic forms or responses by email can be sent to developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Responses on paper copies of this form should be posted or faxed to:

**Development Strategy Manager** South Lakeland District Council South Lakeland House Lowther Street Kendal LA9 4DL

Fax: 01539 717355



You may also hand in your form to the council offices at:

- South Lakeland House, Lowther Street, Kendal; or
- Ulverston Local Link (Town Hall)

If you require additional copies of the form please call 01539 717490 or email developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Internet access is available at your local library and at South Lakeland House, Kendal.

Please ensure that your comments reach the Council Offices at South Lakeland House, Kendal no later than Friday 9th September 2011.

## Your contact details and privacy

Anonymous comments will not be accepted. Comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be available for public inspection. Your submitted comments will be used in the preparation of the LDF.

Contact details, signatures and private addresses will not be made public. Any data that you supply will be held in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

## Viewing the relevant documents

The consultation document, which includes maps of the sites we would like comments on can be viewed at council offices and local libraries and downloaded from the Council website

## Any questions?

If you need help completing the comments sheet, require further information or are unsure about any aspect of the consultation, our Development Plans Team will be pleased to advise.

Contact details are:

Tel: 01539 717490 Email: developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk



# Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)			
Organisation:	Organisation:			
Name: Louist Moss	Name:			
	Address:			
	Postcode:			
	Tel:			
*Email:	*Email:			
*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.				
This response contains pages including this one.				
Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.				

## **Land Allocations - Further Consultation**

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

### 1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?				
Settlement		Site reference number		
(e.g. Natland)		(e.g. RN298#)		
		Wille	1# (including) E19#)	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)				
Support Support in part		C	Oppose 🗹	
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)				
I am deeply concerned with the proposal to site a supermerkely and retail parth in this location. I feel this would be totally unjustified urban expension into Wiversten's larch rural setting, and would be seriously detrumented to Wiversten as a thriving small marker town.  Wiversten is currently one of the very few remaining towns with which have a vibrant mix of small independent Thriving businesses at its centre. Virtually all other towns in England are full of chain stores, all exactly the same, borning to visit and shap in, and all symphthing profits away to a central business. Currently Ulversten provides a good range of local senses; far more sustainables, and bringing money into an area which doesn't have a lot of weath, as well as privides a windowly attractive local senses for periods and visitors alike for sensembly and visitors alike for sensembly and visitors alike the second with the sensembly and visitors alike the second with the second visitors.				

2. <u>Time Span of Land Allocations Document</u>:
Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

## 3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)		
A 12	В	
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
As with question 2, I think this allows flamours time to consider all relevant Factors before reading bedanced decisions - particularly in terms of landscaper scale effects and culmulatine effects of development. Atthough other is a case for considering additional set sites occasionally under exceptional aircumstances—having the areas clearly set out in the first notance helps essure safeguards (For example of valuable ensorgical or archaeological sites) are in place.  This is particularly important at the manant, when local authorities are seriously under funded and understabled.		

Thank you for your views and suggestions.



