




Land Allocations Consultation Room to Live, Space to Breathe
Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)
Organisation:	Organisation:
Name: K. PEARSON (MRS)	Name:
Address: 	Address:
Postcode:	Postcode:
Tel:	Tel:
*Email:	*Email:

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

SOUTH LAKELAND DISTRICT COUNCIL RECEIVED
- 6 SEP 2011
HEVS & BENS

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
SWARTHMOOR.	RN 105#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

THIS SITE FLOODS BOTH SUMMER AND WINTER (SEE ENCLOSED PHOTOS) ALL THE SURROUNDING AREA DRAINS INTO THIS FIELD. THE GARDENS OF NO3 + SWARTHMOOR HOUSE FLOOD DURING RAINY WEATHER. (PHOTOS ENCLOSED) ALTHOUGH THE DRAINAGE HAS BEEN UPDATED IT HAS NEVER BEEN ADEQUATE PARKFIELD BUNGALOWS FLOODED AFTER THE TRINKELD PARK ESTATE WAS BUILT. THEY STILL HAVE A PROBLEM. ALL THE PROPERTIES OPPOSITE THE SITE ARE BELOW ROAD LEVEL, NO FLOODING HAS OCCURED IN 150 YEARS BUT BUILDING ON THAT SITE, THE WATER WOULD HAVE TO GO SOMEWHERE. THE ROAD ITSELF IS VERY NARROW IT WOULD BE A DANGER TO HAVE MORE TRAFFIC EMPTYING ONTO IT. THE JUNCTION OF PARK AVE AND MAIN ROAD IS ALREDY A DANGER. (NOTE THE LAYOUT OF THE ROAD MARKINGS) AND ALSO THE JUNCTION OF PARKFIELD AND MAIN ROAD (BECAUSE OF THE WAY THE ROAD BENDS, FOR THESE REASONS WE ALSO OPPOSE SITES SO 354 & SO 353, FAR TOO INTENSIVE AND SWARTHMOOR WOULD LOSE ITS IDENTITY IN ULVERSTON

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

<p>Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)</p>	
<p>A <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)</p>	
<p>PEOPLE KNOW THEIR OWN COMMUNITIES AND THEIR NEEDS</p>	

Thank you for your views and suggestions.

<p>SOUTH LAKELAND DISTRICT COUNCIL RECEIVED</p>
<p>- 6 SEP 2011</p>
<p>REVS & BENS</p>

Further reasons why Main Road should not be developed

Junction of A590

Already there is a problem at this junction as there are so many vehicles turning right here, holding traffic up for Barrow. There is not enough waiting area due to the Pennington turning.

Trinkeld Park junction

Although an open junction (easily visible) there is a large amount of traffic moving in and out of the estate.

Park Field junction

This is a concealed junction and traffic not obeying the 30 mile restriction, and there being no sign stating there are 2 junctions ahead, and no slow sign, make it difficult moving out from there.

Park Road junction

There have been a few accidents over the years and though the road markings are now out into Main Road, vehicles travelling towards Urswick road have to slow down and even stop if there is traffic travelling toward the A590 as there is not enough room to move forward in safety.

While collecting the enclosed signatures I realised that no one knew anything about the further consultation. Seeing the map of the proposal was met with shock. I therefore request that the consultation date be put back for a number of weeks while the residents of Swarthmoor are informed.

Submitted by

SOUTH LAKE
DISTRICT COUNCIL
RECEIVED

- 6 SEP 2011

REVS & BENS

We the undersigned do not wish you to consider building on plot SO216#, SO353#, SO354#, SO217#, SO439#, for the reason given in the consultation response form.

Name

Address

Signature

FCLE226 Jill Spooner
FCLE227 Don GARNETT
FCLE228 Patricia Garnett
FCLE229 Gary Tubbs
FCLE230 Olive Brown
FCLE231 Marilyn Seymour
FCLE232 Elizabeth Irene Wood
FCLE233 JOAN CHARLES WOOD
FCLE234 JILL THURGOOD
FCLE235 H. Longden-Thurgood
FCLE236 K. Pearson
FCLE237 Alan Morphet
FCLE238 Lyndsey Brown
FCLE239 P. Sexton
FCLE240 Richard Sexton
FCLE241 Bethany Stebbens
FCLE242 Susan Sexton
FCLE243 Mrs Mary Ormerod
FCLE244 Kath Holmes
FCLE245 Mrs Ormerod
FCLE246 Paul Weather

