# How to make comments

1 0 AUG 2011

You need fill out only one copy of your contact details. However, please fill in a separate response form for each site or issue that you wish to comment on. Please indicate in the bex provided on the contact details form the total number of pages enclosed. Please complete the attached Equality Monitoring Form if you wish.

An electronic copy of this form is available at www.southlakeland.gov.uk/landallocations

Electronic forms or responses by email can be sent to developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Responses on paper copies of this form should be posted or faxed to:

**Development Strategy Manager** South Lakeland District Council South Lakeland House Lowther Street Kendal LA9 4DL

Fax: 01539 717355

You may also hand in your form to the council offices at:

- South Lakeland House, Lowther Street, Kendal; or
- Ulverston Local Link (Town Hall)

If you require additional copies of the form please call 01539 717490 or email developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Internet access is available at your local library and at South Lakeland House, Kendal.

Please ensure that your comments reach the Council Offices at South Lakeland House, Kendal no later than Friday 9th September 2011.

# Your contact details and privacy

Anonymous comments will not be accepted. Comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be available for public inspection. Your submitted comments will be used in the preparation of the LDF.

Contact details, signatures and private addresses will not be made public. Any data that you supply will be held in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

# Viewing the relevant documents

The consultation document, which includes maps of the sites we would like comments on can be viewed at council offices and local libraries and downloaded from the Council website

# Any questions?

If you need help completing the comments sheet, require further information or are unsure about any aspect of the consultation, our Development Plans Team will be pleased to advise.

Contact details are:

Tel: 01539 717490

Email: developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk

# Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)		
Organisation:	Organisation:		
Name: JAY Ravan Robinson	Name:		
	Address:		
	Postcode:		
	Tel:		
*Email:	*Email:		
*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.			
This response contains pages including this one.			
Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.			

FCLE 20

## **Land Allocations - Further Consultation**

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

### 1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to com	ment on?			
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)		
Haltand		OH	51	
Please indicate below whether this site be included in the Lar				
Support	Support in part	0	Oppose 🗹	
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)				
Sec	attached:	Shee	SOUTR DISTRICT IN AUG 2011	

POST POCA

2. <u>Time Span of Land Allocations Document</u>:
Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you su of the Land Allocations docum	ipport, support in part or opposent (please tick as appropriate)	se a reduction in the timescale
Support	Support in part	Oppose 🗹
Please explain your reasons/ac box if necessary)	dd your comments below (contir	nue on a separate sheet/expand
The propos	ed allocation	- ous
	& consultal	
tel fru	tor consu	Variou
have a	caused d.	visións
	oillage. The	
	This happe	
better.		

: FCCE20

## 3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)		
<b>A</b> [	В 🗹	
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
it doesn't really	y oncern Datard	
it doesn't really oncern Datard which is a large village. Development in small villages		
haulett 4 ap	on construoido	
	small sade &	
'u best deal?	riotu on a	
case by cas	e badis	
against a bo	ctapound of Policy	

Thank you for your views and suggestions.



# This page is intentionally blank

·: FCLE 20

#### Site ON51 - proposed car park

- 1. The Emerging Options Consultation Document (Jan 2011) states with regard to the question of deliverability that a site will be excluded from the Allocations Document if the owner is not willing to release it and it cannot be made available. This site belongs to Natland Park Farm. The District Council's Fact File for Natland notes that there is a covenant affecting land belonging to the Farm which would make development problematic. In any event, we have been in contact with the owners regarding this Further Consultation and they have stated that they are not prepared to release this site. It should not, therefore, be included because it is not deliverable and an allocation will simply blight nearby properties.
- 2. The site forms part of one of the home fields belonging to Natland Park Farm and is important for the management of the farm. It also provides access for farm vehicles via Oxenholme Lane to and from other areas of the farm land. The proposed use is inconsistent with the current use of the site.
- 3. The site is part of a farmed landscape which forms an important setting for the northern side of the village. A car park would damage that setting.
- 4. A car park on this site would be unused for much of the year. It is presumably being promoted as an overflow for the village hall car park but would only occasionally be used for that purpose. At present cars unable to get into the hall car park are able to park around the green, along the wider part of Oxenholme Lane near the primary school and along Natland Road. That is adequate in practice and still allows for the free flow of traffic in the village.
- 5. The real parking problem in the village (in terms of disrupting traffic flow) occurs for about twenty minutes in the morning and afternoon during term time and is caused by parents dropping off and collecting their children from the primary school. For the most part, the parking is very short term. Because of that, it must be doubtful

POSTROOM

FCLE 20

whether parents would sacrifice the convenience of parking in Oxenholme Lane for the opportunity to access the proposed car park via the village hall. Furthermore, the proposed pedestrian access onto Oxenholme Lane would have to be shared with farm vehicles and children (if they used the car park) would have to cross the busy Oxenholme Lane to get to and from school. If a car park is required for parents, the best place to provide it would be beside the school so that children do not have to cross the busy Oxenholme Lane. The children's play area could be relocated to the community open space behind the school.

6. In terms of equity, it is unreasonable that the three households (Little Lound, Park View and Limethwaite) who are worst affected by the current parking problem outside the school should be faced now with a proposal which will not resolve the problem but which, if implemented and used, would result in them being effectively boxed in by cars on both sides. We are prepared to put up with the inconvenience of parking in the front because the school is important to the village. However, we object strongly to the proposal to park cars behind us as well. A car park would be an unsociable neighbour with disturbance from cars starting up, from the banging of doors, from people attending functions at the village hall and from headlights on winter evenings.

