



Land Allocations Consultation **Room to Live, Space to Breathe**

Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details		Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation:		Organisation:	
Name: T J M. MARTIN		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Postcode:		Postcode:	
Tel:		Tel:	
*Email:		Email:	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.



Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Sedgwick.	RN 280#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support ☐	Support in part ☐	Oppose ☒
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
Back lane is not suitable for such plans. Basically, a single track road which is not generally well maintained to a safe standard, particularly during winter months (frost + ice particularly!) Footpaths facilities should not be ignored - they are regularly used by walkers + runners. Any development prospect would destroy a valuable landscape surrounding the village cricket ground. would also directly affect the privacy + outlook of a private residential development (particularly swimming pool + patio area).		

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.
(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. **Alternative Sites**

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Sedgwick	ON56#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support ☐	Support in part ☐	Oppose ☒
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
The size of area suggested for development completely overpowers the existing village footprint – it is FAR TOO BIG. Sedgwick does not currently have the infrastructure to support such a development, and to add such infrastructure would completely overwhelm our quiet village It is proposed for an area of valuable landscape, currently well used by walkers. Also I have not been able to find sufficient indication of the likely mix of activities		

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support ☐	Support in part ☒	Oppose ☐
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
We do support sustainable progress (with the exception of RN280#) for general development in the future.		

3. **Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside**

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A ☒

B ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

must have a central overview which includes a proper consultation process.

Thank you for your views and suggestions.