

Land Allocations Consultation **Room to Live, Space to Breathe****Consultation Response Form****Your contact details**

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)
Organisation:	Organisation:
Name: M Glover & P Dawson	Name:
	Address:
	Postcode:
	Tel:
	*Email:

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.



Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
SEDGWICK & BRETARGH HOLT	RN280#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose X <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>We oppose any development here for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• It would destroy a valuable landscape which is not only a backdrop to the picturesque setting of Sedgwick Cricket Club but also to the vista currently enjoyed from the Grade 11 listed building of Sedgwick House & Grounds.• The valuable pasture/grazing land, which also provides significant amenity value to walkers and hikers, (a public footpath runs through the land, to the Canal) would be lost.• Back Lane is a single track road which would necessitate significant widening/improvement, thereby creating further visual destruction, increase in traffic etc.,• It would extend the limit of the village, facilitating further development in the future with erosion of the village nature of Sedgwick.• There are considerable numbers of bats in the nearby woodland area and it is considered that the disturbance of construction works would unnecessarily disturb this protected species.		

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
SEDGWICK & BRETARGH HOLT	ON56#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose X <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>We oppose any development here for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The size of the proposed development dwarfs the whole of the village.• It would require massive highway improvements along all accesses into the village.• There would be substantial loss of hedgerows & bird nesting sites• Sedgwick would be transformed into a small town – the pastoral aspect would be completely destroyed.• A solid commitment with timescale would be required for the Lancaster Canal Restoration, which appears to be 'pie in the sky' at the moment (current estimate circa £60 million)• The lower end of the site is much too close to the river Kent.		

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
SEDGWICK & BRETARGH HOLT	RN322#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support X <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>This area does appear to be a suitable option for a small sensitive housing development</p>		

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
SEDGWICK & BRETARGH HOLT	E50# to E55# and E56#?	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose X <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>We oppose development in this area for the following reasons:</p> <p>E50# to E55#</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• It would turn the area into an industrial park.• Increase traffic problems at Bretargh Holt roundabout• It is totally out of keeping with the existing rural nature of the area <p>E56#?</p> <p>The above comments apply plus –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• It straddles the river Kent, embraces Force Falls, the fish pass, the old gunpowder works, and the formal gardens, all of which are currently being reported on by English Heritage, and would inevitably lead to degradation and pollution of the river.		

--

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose X <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary) In principal, plans are best made with plenty of time to consider alternatives but it is difficult to give a considered response when the question is ambiguous and not easy to understand!		

3. **Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside**

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A ☒

B ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Allocation of land in an overall document, with sufficient time given to consultations from all relevant quarters, would be preferable - otherwise it would leave the way open to numerous 'kite flying' exercises from those where financial self-interest is paramount.

Thank you for your views and suggestions.