

Email: g

**Objections to Land Allocations:
Alternative sites in and around Sedgwick**

RN280/RN332 RN322

My objection to these sites is the same as for the previous sites put forward in Sedgwick. The building of any large number of houses in the village will add to the already overwhelming amount of traffic that flows through our village on a daily basis. Things are so bad now that some residents are afraid to walk through the centre of the village.

I feel however that there is possibly a need for a very small number of affordable houses in Sedgwick for villagers who wish to downsize to remain in the village, or for the children of villagers. The only way forward for this I believe would be for a community based housing initiative to ensure that any properties built would be available exclusively for local residents.

E50 to E55 (brettargh Holt)

My objection to these sites is that they are currently good quality agricultural fields in an area of outstanding local beauty.

I feel that there is a need to protect the countryside to ensure that the rising demand for food is protected.

Building on these sites could cause flooding as is already affecting the dual carriageway following the building of the Travel Lodge

ON56 (canal route)

This site is again good quality agricultural land that should be protected.

This site would cause further increases in vehicles travelling along narrow country lanes that were not designed for the high traffic volumes that currently use them.

The situation is likely to worsen once the new houses at Natland become occupied, as drivers wishing to head south to the motorway will come through Sedgwick making our situation even worse.

**Nigel Markham
14th September 2011**