


FCCE 175



August 29th, 2011

Dear Sirs -

We enclose completed forms concerning consultation responses relevant to Land Development in the village of Barbon and especially of plot RN 279.

Please note that overall we strongly object to any development at all and fear that entrepreneurial developers who, without any interest or sensitivity concerning other people's lives and ^{their} ~~livelihoods~~ ^{lives} might ruin a precious green space for profit, and for ever.

Yours sincerely,

MR & MRS. J. F. WAITING



Land Allocations Consultation Room to Live, Space to Breathe

Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.


Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)
Organisation:	Organisation:
Name: MR & MRS J. F. WAITING	Name:
	Address:
	Postcode:
	Tel:
*Email:	*Email:

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.



Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.



Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
BARBON	RN 279	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>① RN 279 is the only site we can comment on - other sites offered we have no knowledge of.</p>		



2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support ☐

Support in part ☐


Oppose ☒

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Plot
RN 279 is an area designated for agricultural use only and bears the character of the typical green fields that surround the village of Barbon, enhancing its rare value for villagers and visitors alike & in perpetuity.

If not for agricultural use, we strongly urge plot RN 279 to be left as a wild site — a green oasis in the heart of our village, as well as contributing to the concept that the village is part of the landscape that surrounds us.

Any ^{thoughtless} development to plot RN 279 could seriously injure ^{the lives of} our neighbours in nos. 9 and 10 Barnvigg.



3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A ☐

B ☒

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

If A were to prevail the village is in danger of

- ① the build-up of traffic congestion for villagers & visitors
- ② the build-up of shopping facilities & petrol supplies.
- ③ sewage and problems with utilities.
- ④ impairment of Barurigg's quality of road surface and increase of noise of building traffic if narrow maintenance path between nos. 9 & 10 were altered.

B would be acceptable ^{only} as long as SHDC's Core Strategy remained as at present!

Thank you for your views and suggestions.



This page is intentionally blank

SOUTH LAKELAND
DISTRICT COUNCIL
RECEIVED

- 1 SEP 2011

REVS & BENS