

Land Allocations Consultation Room to Live, Space to Breathe

## **Consultation Response Form**

DISTRICT COUNCIL
RECEIVE

3 1 AUG 2011

## Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS AND INK.

examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

| Your details  | Your Agent's details (if you have one)   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Organisation:   | Organisation:  |  |  |
| Name: Mr D Robinson   | Name:  |  |  |
|   | Address:   |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   | Postcode:  |  |  |
|   | Tel:   |  |  |
|   | *Email:  |  |  |
| *We aim to minimise the amount of paper supplied, future contact will be made elect | printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is ronically.                            |  |  |
| This response contains pages inclu  | ding this one.   |  |  |
| Please tick the box if you would lill Development Plan Document is s                | ke us to notify you when the Land Allocations ubmitted to the Secretary of State for independent |  |  |

## **Land Allocations - Further Consultation**

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011):
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document.

  3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the COUNCIL RECEIVED.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

3 1 AUG 2011

1. Alternative Sites

POST ROOM Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

| Which site do you wi                        | sh to comment on?  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Settlement (e.g. Natland)                   |  | Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)                                      |  |  |
| Natland                                     |  | RN 303   |  |  |
| Please indicate below this site be included | v whether you support, supporting the Land Allocations docum                       | t in part or oppose the suggestion that ent (please tick as appropriate) |  |  |
| Support                                     | Support in part  | Oppose 🗸   |  |  |
| Please explain your r<br>box if necessary)  | easons/add your comments be  | elow (continue on a separate sheet/expand                                |  |  |
| alternative site applic                     | bove address for 28 years, I me<br>ation submitted.<br>made by the respondents app | ust express my strong objection to the                                   |  |  |

- 1. "Natland has been identified where housing can be accommodated" Natland has already accommodated 3 developments, namely, St Marks Fold, Natland Road and currently under construction is the former Holmes Garden Nurseries to provide local housing needs so Natland has already suffered a disproportionate level of housing development to retain its village character.
- 2. Reference is made to access of this proposed site from Long Meadow Lane, of which, all roads leading into Long Meadow Lane are totally inadequate as they are virtually single lane i.e; Oxenholme Lane and Helm Lane.
- 3. The respondent refers to a 'rounding off' and a 'buffer' being created to avoid coalescence between Natland and Oxenholme - this in fact would result in the reverse applying as any further expansion to the East will create remoteness from the village, be highly visible and intrusive on the landscape because of the high sloping ground and result in Natland becoming ever closer of merging with Oxenholme.
- 4. Also, the respondent refers to a public 'amenity space', I ask, who is going to maintain this? - will it be down to the county council or local residents indefinite
- 5. They make anecdotal comments about geological issues i.e; cave systems and refer to no evidence exists, this is not the case, as shown and reported in the October 2000 issue of Cumbria & Lake District magazine, of which I will not go into great

detail about this, other than to say, they do exist. And I am convinced that the streams within these caves and subterranean limestone ground have a bearing on why we have experienced flooding in our garage and utility room from excess water flowing from these fields after heavy rainfalls and, any future development of these fields will increase the scale of flooding.

I feel very strongly that these fields should remain a 'Green Gap' in order to keep Natland a physically separate settlement from Oxenholme and protect this particular Environment as agricultural land for future generations.



FCLE161

| 2. | Time | Span | of | Land | <b>Allocati</b> | on | s Do | cument |
|----|------|------|----|------|-----------------|----|------|--------|
|    |      |      |    |      |                 |    |      |        |

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

| Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate) |                                |                                      |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Support   | Support in part 🗸              | Oppose                               |  |  |
| Please explain your re<br>box if necessary)   | easons/add your comments below | (continue on a separate sheet/expand |  |  |

It is my belief with ongoing government and local policy changes and future uncertainties I consider it will be more appropriate to reduce the land allocation document to 10 years, however, this would be on the basis that individual households within Natland have a strong voice in all future planning proposals under the new localism bill!

If any future plans within this framework are going to be detrimental to the area of Natland as a whole, such as, having an adverse impact on existing landscape, increasing traffic levels, increasing pedestrian hazard, increasing noise and air pollution, increasing Radon Gas levels that would be linked to issues regarding the reduction in health and general quality of life for existing Natland residents – I would be totally against any change.



| Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate) |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| <b>A</b>   | В  |  |  |
| Please explain your reasons/sbox if necessary)   | add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand |  |  |
| ly above comments cover th   | ese points   |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Thank you for your views and suggestions.

SOUTH LAKELAND DISTRICT COUNCIL 3 1 AUG 2011 POST ROOM