

Your contact details

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one) Sform please use CAPITALS and BLACK INKSOUTH LAKELANGE (INC.) OISTRICTAKELANGE (INC.) RECEIVED		
Organisation:	Organisation: AUG 2011		
Name: LAURA PARSONS	Name:		
	Address:		
	Postcode:		
	Tel:		
*Email:	*Email:		
We aim to minimise the amount of pape supplied, future contact will be made elec	r printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is stronically.		
This response contains [13] pages inc	luding this one.		
	like us to notify you when the Land Allocations submitted to the Secretary of State for independent		

Please use this form to comment on:

- Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Which site do you wish to comment on? Settlement Site reference number (e.g. Natland) (e.g. RN298#)			
Levens		RN291 K	
Please indicate below whether this site be included in the Lan	you support, support in Allocations document	part or c	oppose the suggestion that ick as appropriate)
Support 🗹	Support in part		Oppose 🗌
Please explain your reasons/ac box if necessary)			
Building on this. - Area of land is a natural extension of the nillage. - Is away from the noise of the AS90 - Access to Kendal and motorway without having to travel - through the village - Is an a slope so would not obstact other properties views. - Is an a slope so would not obstact other properties views. - Houses would have good news a be easy to sell. - Houses would have good news a be easy to sell. - Is near the shop and school - Turther extensions of the nillage in this direction would create further good howses with a different access point.			

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Which site do you wish to comment on?			
Settlement			ference number
(e.g. Natland)		(e.g. R1	N298#)
LEVENS		RN2	82×
Please indicate below whether this site be included in the Lar	you support, support in Allocations document	part or t (please	oppose the suggestion that tick as appropriate)
Support ☑	Support in part		Oppose
box if necessary)			
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary) - Away from the neise of the AS90. - Two access points at either end. - Access to the moreoway and Kendad without havelling through the nilage. - Houses which are part of Levens are built in front, behind and lareral, therefore is a natural extension of the village. - Would not obstruct the news or light of other properties as it is an a slape. - Houses would be easy to sell due to location eviews, better than act of the other suggested sites. - Houses would be easy to sell due to location eviews, better than act of the other suggested sites. - Location good for young families as easy access to Location for building houses as he a floodplain.			

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Which site do you wish to comment on?			
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site ref (e.g. Ri	erence number N298#)
LEVENS		RN245×	
Please indicate below whether this site be included in the La	r you support, support ir nd Allocations documen	n part or t (please	oppose the suggestion that tick as appropriate)
Support 🗹	Support in part		Oppose
Please explain your reasons/a box if necessary)			
- Houses are already built have and there is space therefore mare should be allowed to be built			

FCLE138

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Which site do you wish to com	ment on?		
Settlement			erence number
(e.g. Natland)		(e.g. RN	298#)
LEVENS		EN45*	
Please indicate below whether this site be included in the Lan	you support, support ir d Allocations document	part or o	oppose the suggestion that tick as appropriate)
Support	Support in part ✓		Oppose 🗌
Please explain your reasons/ac box if necessary)	dd your comments belo	v (continu	ue on a separate sheet/expand
-1s a floodplain			

Please use this form to comment on:

- Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Which site do you wish to comment on?			
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
LEVENS		K105%	
Please indicate below whether this site be included in the Lar	r you support, support in nd Allocations documen	n part or t (please	oppose the suggestion that etick as appropriate)
Support	Support in part ☑		Oppose
Please explain your reasons/a box if necessary)	dd your comments belo	w (contir	nue on a separate sheet/expand
- Will add to extra traffic in greengate, other sites have			julte, other sites have
better acce	ps.		

Please use this form to comment on:

- Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Which site do you wish to comment on?			
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
LEVENS		R142*	
Please indicate below whether this site be included in the Lan	you support, support in d Allocations documen	part or t (please	oppose the suggestion that tick as appropriate)
Support	Support in part		Oppose 🗹
Please explain your reasons/ac box if necessary)	dd your comments belo	w (contin	ue on a separate sheet/expand

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Which site do you wish to comment on?			
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site ref (e.g. RN	erence number N298#)
LEVENS		RI	V127A
Please indicate below whether this site be included in the Lar	you support, support in Allocations document	n part or t (please	oppose the suggestion that tick as appropriate)
Support	Support in part		Oppose ☑
Please explain your reasons/asbox if necessary)	dd your comments belo	w (contir	nue on a separate sheet/expand
onlo the - Houses he duel can - Very old to to them b	affic which volings. A590 -> there has ure will spoil the lo nage way, as then	or of the will do the will built of the will be with the will be with the will be will be with the will be will be with the will be wit	dangerous pull our many fatalities there. to village from the be very noncable dung here may lead reasons, which should

Please use this form to comment on:

- Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Settlement (e.g. Natland)	(o a DN209#)			
LEVENS		RN123×		
Please indicate below whether this site be included in the Lar	you support, support in Allocations documen	n part or t (please	oppose the suggestion that tick as appropriate)	
Support	Support in part		Oppose 🗹	
Please explain your reasons/a box if necessary)	dd your comments belo	w (contir	nue on a separate sheet/expand	
- Better to build new houses in larger spaces				
- Better to build new houses in larger spaces Will spoil block the light of houses recorby.				

2. <u>Time Span of Land Allocations Document</u>: Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support 🗹	Support in part	Oppose
Please explain your reasons/adbox if necessary)	dd your comments below (contir	nue on a separate sheet/expand
	ole houses are reecled a a shorter hime, so man	ucently therefore it houses are built sooner

3. <u>Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside</u>
Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)			
A 🗹	В		
Please explain your reasons/add your comment box if necessary)	nts below (continue on a separate sheet/expand		
- It is a worke of money no gathered from the Land at option B with probabily only any	to use the information already blocations deretopment plans. come up with the same options		

Thank you for your views and suggestions.