

Land Allocations Consultation **Room to Live. Space to Breathe****Consultation Response Form**

26 AUG 2011

How to make comments

You need fill out only one copy of your contact details. However, please fill in a separate response form for each site or issue that you wish to comment on. Please indicate in the box provided on the contact details form the total number of pages enclosed. Please complete the attached Equality Monitoring Form if you wish.

An electronic copy of this form is available at www.southlakeland.gov.uk/landallocations

Electronic forms or responses by email can be sent to developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Responses on paper copies of this form should be posted or faxed to:

Development Strategy Manager
South Lakeland District Council
South Lakeland House
Lowther Street
Kendal
LA9 4DL

Fax: 01539 717355

You may also hand in your form to the council offices at:

- South Lakeland House, Lowther Street, Kendal; or
- Ulverston Local Link (Town Hall)

If you require additional copies of the form please call 01539 717490 or email developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Internet access is available at your local library and at South Lakeland House, Kendal.

Please ensure that your comments reach the Council Offices at South Lakeland House, Kendal no later than **Friday 9th September 2011**.

Your contact details and privacy

Anonymous comments will not be accepted. Comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be available for public inspection. Your submitted comments will be used in the preparation of the LDF.

Contact details, signatures and private addresses will not be made public. Any data that you supply will be held in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

Viewing the relevant documents


The consultation document, which includes maps of the sites we would like comments on can be viewed at council offices and local libraries and downloaded from the Council website

Any questions?

If you need help completing the comments sheet, require further information or are unsure about any aspect of the consultation, our Development Plans Team will be pleased to advise.


Contact details are:

Tel: 01539 717490

Email: developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk

Land Allocations Consultation **Room to Live. Space to Breathe****Consultation Response Form****Your contact details**

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.


Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)
Organisation: NONE	Organisation: NONE
Name: JAMES W. CAVENEY	Name:
	Address:
	Postcode: N/A
	Tel:
*Email:	*Email:

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains ☒ 3 pages including this one.



Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.



Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	NATLAND	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)
		RN 303 + RN 298
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>① Access from single track roads to both the above areas would add more confusion to an already difficult traffic problem</p> <p>② There are definitely pot holes under the fields above and below the rail line and there is written proof of this in several documents. This would give an obvious problem for building.</p> <p>③ Natland has recently had quite a few new building areas and will cease to become a village if more is allowed!</p>		

④ Flooding is already a problem to residents in Long meadow Lane (near gardens) and would certainly be made worse by building houses on what could be a green buffer zone (as originally suggested)

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the timescale of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A




B



Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

^(B)
This would bring a lot of confusion and also make people very anti social regarding opposing sites. The cost of the procedure would also place more burden on an already restricted budget.



Thank you for your views and suggestions.

