## FCEM46

Sent: 21- Aug- 11 20:43 To: Development Plans Subject: South Lakeland Land Allocations--Further Consultation Exercise Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Completed Response from Sheila and Neil Dodds to the following : 1. whether the land allocations document should cover a shorter period to an earlier end date. 2.whether sites for development should be allocated in small villages and hamlets. 3.Alternative sites. We believe that if a shorter period was used it would be more relevant to 1. our lives. 2. We are not in favour of allocating sites in small settlements and prefer the use of adopted policies in the core strategy or neighbourhood plan. Small settlements include the Ackenthwaite area of Milnthorpe. 3. Sites R138, 656, 98, 305, 43 and 323 are inappropriate see (2) above. In addition they are badly served by infrastructure: pedestrian access to the services of Milnthorpe is along narrow and unsuitable pavements. Site RN281 does have some potential being closer to the services of Milnthorpe.However access would be difficult without road widening. Site R471 has housing potential for a small infill development appropriate to the setting. Site R318 has potential for development near the riverside providing it enhances the existing attractive entrance to the village. There are significant constraints on development in the Milnthorpe area because of the United Utilities pumping station capacity limit.We wish to emphasise the lack of new patient capacity at the two village surgeries. The necessary infrastructure should be provided before any further developments are permitted. 21/08/11

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System. For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email