



Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details		Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation:		Organisation:	
Name: Quentin Elliott		Name:	
		Address:	
Postcode:		Postcode:	
Tel: 0		Tel:	
*Email:		*Email:	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.

☐

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Greenodd / Penny Bridge	Rn312	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose ✓ <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>This plot has been suggested by one of those people most affected by the original suggestion of rn152, to divert attention away from rn152 I oppose it for the following reasons : It is made up of 4 parcels of land, one of which is the churchyard. This is still active for worship and burials, how could it be built on ? A second parcel is consecrated ground which the church yard will extend into in coming years for further burials. The remaining 2 parcels are active farmland. Building on this farmland would contribute to potential loss of local employment. The farm of which this land is part is barely viable, and reducing the acreage further will not help. Building on this plot would extend the village boundary (it is not infill). There are inadequate sewerage and waste water services immediately below this area, which results in regular blockages and localised flooding. The local housing survey of about 2 years ago (with which the Parish Council were involved) did not identify a need for additional housing. There are already several empty properties in the village. The village has already undergone significant expansion in recent years with the building of small housing estates at Ellis Wood and opposite the Ship inn in Greenodd.</p>		

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support ☐

Support in part ☐

Oppose ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

No view

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A ☐

B ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

No view

Thank you for your views and suggestions.