Sent: 11 August 2011 23:31

To: "developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk"

Subject: North Lonsdale Road Ulverston - Site Number ON43

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Completed

Dan Hudson,

My name is Amanda Rigg, a resident on North Lonsdale, and an Ulverston Town

Councillor.

Having recently viewed the SLDC document 'Land Allocations - Further Consultation'

which lists alternative sites put forward by respondents to consultation for the Local

Development Framework 2011, I was astonished that Ms Julie Swineburne, Chair of

Ulverston Flood Action Group, has proposed development of the site off North Lonsdale

Road (existing site ON43).

I was also surprised to hear that you have a meeting arranged tomorrow to carry

forward this proposal, prior to the closing date of which the Consultation Response

Forms are to be received - as I see it, going behind closed doors!

I must refer you back to the 19th and 20th November 2009, when more than 120

properties (or homes as we call them) were flooded in South Ulverston.

My feelings, and the feeling of other residents and flood victims is that building on this

land will significantly increase the risk of flooding. The field is saturated ground $12\,$

months of the year, acting as a sponge in what is classed as a "high risk" flood plan.

Three points within the minutes of a Public Meeting to discuss Flooding Problems in

South Ulverston, held in the Coronation Hall on Wednesday 9th December, 2009.

should be remembered:-

 * A number of questions were asked about building development on a flood plain

area such as the land surrounding North Lonsdale Road. Mr Conway, SLDC, said that this needed to be looked at over a number of years and an assessment

of what was going to be done in the future - please note the words 'a number of

years'!

* Mr Fell, Environment Agency, said that planning permission on a flood plain

would normally be opposed - so why are you still trying?

* The Chairman said that there had been several items of correspondence on this

you listening?

The more that is built, the bigger the problem, and this proposal should be withdrawn!

I look forward to your comments.

Cllr. Amanda Rigg

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System. For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email