



Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details		Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation: Watercrook Residents Committee		Organisation:	
Name: Anne Everingham		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Postcode:		Postcode:	
Tel:		Tel:	
*Email:		*Email:	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Kendal SE	E31# & E31M#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A group of residents from around Kendal and Natland, working together informally to oppose designations of land at Natland Road for industrial use or domestic housing • We are supported by a very substantial cross section of the community • Original planning consent for the site was exclusive to K Shoes • It carried specific restrictions • K Shoes chose not to renew the consent in August 2000 • Proposal provides no hint of the visual and amenity damage which would ensue • The panoramic view from the southern approaches to Kendal is vitally important • The first impression of the town is from Shenstone Roundabout • It is one of pleasing open countryside leading to a natural wooded boundary • It's value was clearly understood by the Government Inspectors dealing with the South Westmorland Plan • He was unequivocal in his adverse comments about creating an incursion of buildings into this open stretch of the Kent Valley • The entire surrounding countryside is designated County Landscape • There would be an adverse impact on the many leisure activities enjoyed by a multitude of residents and visitors all year round, eg. walking, fishing, etc. • These considerations are even more valid in today's environmentally conscious society • There is still much brown field/derelict land that could be used for housing which would be more convenient and cheaper to connect to mains services 		

- Natland Road is not wide enough as a residential road already made hazardous by traffic
- Future industrial sites have the potential to compound this
- Rat runs would be created through Rinkfield and Natland
- Major additions to water and sewage would have to be made which the local system would not be able to take
- The fact that the land in question is within the development zone at all is quite anomalous
- A petition, containing well over 2600 signatures was handed in to Council in 2006 when the idea (E3) to use this area of land was raised and provides testament to strength of local feeling
- Over 95% of people were anxious to object
- There were 363 individual comment form objections in addition to collective ones
- A significant number of visitors were also keen to support the petition
- This is an important consideration when so many livelihoods depend on tourism
- The response is overwhelming by any standard
- Councillors, we urge you to respond to the overwhelming views of the community
- Please reject this proposal and
- Ensure a less damaging solution be found
- Thank you

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support

Support in part

Oppose

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A

B

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Thank you for your views and suggestions.