



Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details		Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation:		Organisation:	
Name: Mr Ian Rigg		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Postcode:		Postcode:	
Tel:		Tel:	
*Email:		*Email:	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.



Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Site off North Lonsdale Road, Ulverston	ON43 (existing site includes EN35, EN36, ON24, ON25 & part of M27)	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>Having recently viewed the SLDC document 'Land Allocations – Further Consultation' which lists alternative sites put forward by respondents to consultation for the Local Development Framework 2011, I was astonished that Ms Julie Swineburne, Chair of Ulverston Flood Action Group, has proposed development of the site off North Lonsdale Road (existing site ON43).</p> <p>I must refer you back to the 19th and 20th November 2009, when more than 120 properties (or homes as we call them) were flooded in South Ulverston.</p> <p>My feelings, and the feeling of other residents and flood victims is that building on this land will significantly increase the risk of flooding. The field is saturated ground 12 months of the year, acting as a sponge in what is classed as a "high risk" flood plan.</p> <p>Three points within the minutes of a Public Meeting to discuss Flooding Problems in South Ulverston, held in the Coronation Hall on Wednesday 9th December, 2009, should be remembered:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A number of questions were asked about building development on a flood plain area		

such as the land surrounding North Lonsdale Road. Mr Conway, SLDC, said that this needed to be looked at over a number of years and an assessment of what was going to be done in the future – please note the words ‘a number of years’!

- Mr Fell, Environment Agency, said that planning permission on a flood plain would normally be opposed – so why are you proposing to build?
- The Chairman said that there had been several items of correspondence on this issue and that the area does flood on a regular basis – the facts, so why aren’t you listening?

The more that is built, the bigger the problem, and this proposal should be withdrawn!

I could go on and on, but know that you understand the problems that the development of this site would cause and hope that you put homes, families and the community before money.

I am also on the understanding that the view of Ulverston Town Council is that the land is totally unsuitable for development of any kind, within a flood plain area and soak away facility for this area must be retained.


2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support ☐

Support in part ☐

Oppose  ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

I oppose any development on this land irrespective of timescales!

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A ☐

B ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

I oppose any development on this!

Thank you for your views and suggestions.