



Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details		Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation:		Organisation:	
Name: Mrs S Hunter		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Postcode:		Postcode:	
Tel:		Tel:	
*Email:		*Email:	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains 3 pages including this one.

Yes Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Arnside	RN337	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose X <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>I object to the development of this site – it is a greenfield site and is designated as Important Open Space within the village, which is itself within an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Development of these Important Open Spaces (including R81 and RN225 which I also oppose) will fundamentally change the character of the village and goes against several policies within the Core Strategy: Policy CS5 which states within the AONB high priority will be given to the conservation of the character of the landscape (which includes settlement character) and CS8.2 <i>Protection and enhancement of landscape and settlement character</i> which states that development proposals should demonstrate that their location will protect distinctive settlement character.</p>		

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

4. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
5. Time span of the Land Allocations document
6. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

2. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Arnside	MN32	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part X <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>I would support development of part of this site – that which is previously developed land - for housing for local need within the parish. I believe this would be in line with Core Strategy policy CS1.1, point 8 which puts forward a sequential approach to development using first existing buildings within settlements and previously developed land.</p> <p>I strongly object, however, to any development of the north and west of the site. This site is within an AONB, development in this area would be clearly visible from the foreshore and would fundamentally change the character of the shoreline. This goes against policies within the Core Strategy: Policy CS5 which states within the AONB high priority will be given to the conservation of the character of the landscape and CS8.2 <i>Protection and enhancement of landscape and settlement character</i>. Also, based on the maps provided, part of Morecambe Bay Ramsar site, SAC, SPA and SSSI lie within this proposed site.</p>		

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

7. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
8. Time span of the Land Allocations document
9. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

3. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Arnside	RN269	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support X <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>I would support development of this site, which is previously developed land, for housing for local need within the parish. I believe this would be in line with Core Strategy policy CS1.1, point 8 which puts forward a sequential approach to development using first existing buildings within settlements and previously developed land.</p>		

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support

Support in part

Oppose

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A

B

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Thank you for your views and suggestions.