



Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details		Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation:		Organisation:	
Name: Mr L. Richards		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Postcode:		Postcode:	
Tel:		Tel:	
*Email:		*Email:	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.

☐

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Beck-Side, Kirkby-in-Furness	RN13 & RN329	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	✓ Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>Beck Side hosts events and meetings at Beck-Side rooms, St Cuthberts Church and the Community centre. Having an event at one venue is bad enough but at all three the car parking issue is intolerable. If this happens one can travel from the Mill at the top of Beck-Side to the top of Church Hill on the wrong side of the road without the opportunity to pull in and let oncoming vehicles pass. The indiscriminate parking also causes an issue (not for the first time) for access for emergency vehicles. The last minor development at Kirkby was Beck-Side Court.</p> <p>It is about us 'roundheads' who care about the village spoke up against a policy that I think will 'urbanise' what are groups of rural hamlets in the village that currently have the quiet enjoyment that should be protected</p> <p>It is also interesting who proposed the land north of BeckSide and one wonders if this driven by financial gain</p> <p>The boundary of RN329 extends too far up to the highest part of the field boundary</p>		

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

✓ Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>
---------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

I support a reduction in the timespan of the Land Allocations Document

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A ☐

✓

☐

B

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

I support Option 'B' but if the council were to come up with sensible Land Allocations and development scales a policy change that would combine an element of both would be acceptable

Thank you for your views and suggestions.