



Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details		Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation:		Organisation: RICHARD TURNER AND SON	
Name: MR GIBSON		Name:	
		Address: 14 MOSS END BUSINESS VILLAGE	
		CROOKLANDS	
		MILNTHORPE	
Postcode:		Postcode:	LA7 7NU
Tel:		Tel: 015395 66800	
*Email:		*Email: KENDAL@RTURNER.CO.UK	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.



Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
BURNESIDE	RN304# and ON53# and E32#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>Concerns regarding the previous proposed areas of development in this area were raised in April 2011, in respect of sites R489/R489M and E32/E32M whereby proposed sites RN304# and E32# are now proposed extensions. These concerns, summarized below, also apply to the extension sites (RN304# and E32#) and further increase concern.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Development of site R489/R489M and E32/E32M and moreover RN304# and E32# would result in the loss of valuable meadow land and will therefore impact on the sustainability of farming business at Burneside Hall. Additionally the proposals pose a threat to local biodiversity as a result of an increase in the strain being put on land elsewhere to produce more grass for grazing and cutting.• Development around Burneside Hall Farm would have a negative impact on the local landscape bearing in mind the proximity to the Gatehouse Scheduled Ancient Monument, Pele Tower as well as Burneside Hall farmhouse (grade II listed).• Both sites are within Flood Risk Zones with site E32M being prone to holding water and therefore a site likely to flood especially if it is to be developed. <p>Additionally, the proposal for site ON53# as a possible access to sites R489M and RN304# raises concerns in respect of highway safety. Site ON53# is not a suitable location for access to the proposed sites as this access would join the public highway on the crest of Hall Road and would therefore be dangerous.</p>		

--

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support ☐

Support in part ☐

Oppose ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A ☐

B ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Thank you for your views and suggestions.