

8 September 2011

Development Strategy Manager
South Lakeland District Council
South Lakeland House
Lowther Street
Kendal LA9 4DL

Dear Sir,

SOUTH LAKE LAND LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK CONSULTATION

I write in response to the invitation to respond to the 2nd stage of the Consultation in respect of the South Lakeland Local Development Framework documents and Land Allocations.

My interest is in the villages of Heversham and Leasgill and I now comment on the following issues as invited in your communication received on 29 July:

1. Alternative Sites

My only new comment relates to the new proposals for site RN316/RN326. I believe that this site is totally inappropriate for development due to its central position within the village and the extra traffic movements it would cause to the village interior with consequent danger on narrow roads. This also forms a natural green space and was previously rejected for this and related purposes as described in my earlier response. I would therefore request that my objection to this site be recorded.

2. Development Period

I support the reduction by 5 years of the period under consideration due to the uncertain nature of the economy and changing demographics and would also urge even further reduction in the period if possible with pro-rata reductions in target numbers.

3. Development in Villages and Hamlets

I support the stratagem indicating that Development in Villages and Hamlets should only take place where quantifiable evidence is proven against agreed local criteria and believe this should be related to new employment development in the vicinity, with minimal travel to work distance.

I trust these comments can be added to my response to the initial consultation.

Yours faithfully,

John T. Owen