FCEM206

From: Tony Coles [Sent: 07- Sep- 11 20:54

To: Development Plans

Cc: cet

Subject: Grange over Sands RN332, RN383, RN268

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Completed

Dear Sir

I would comment as follows

RN 268 Land by rubbish tip Object no safe access to Allithwaite Road

RN 383 Lido

Support Sport England in respect of this now, quite rightly, listed site. Particularly as there appear no reasonable prospects that the SLDC can deliver the now heavily modified development scheme.

RN 332 Honeypotts

Object - this should clearly never have been included in the old plan and opportunity to develop have not been made in reasonable time causing plighting of adjacent properties.

It is understood that there is no access available to Greenacres and the other proposed adjacent development does not have, and will not have access to Rowanside.

If access were to be proposed from Risedale Hill this is clearly ridiculous as the junction with Rowanside has now been recognised as unsafe and not complying to current standards i.e. a 'material change '.

As a general point I will raise with the Inspector the faulty format of the housing questionnaire where those locations apparently favoured by SLDC were highlighted thus having the effect of drawing attention of the inexperienced to those preferred options. I would have expected that a responsible authority would have withdrawn these faulty documents and replaced them with credibly drafted ones - not to respond the patronising reply from the Chief Executive who appears to imply the if a contractor provides a poor piece of work then the employing the Authority is not responsible! Appears prima facie case of maladministration.

I was also concerned that the public meeting in Grange a developer / landowner was allowed to give rambling address the meeting for what appeared to be over three times the time allocated any of the residents. (FOI enquiry in hand to ascertain actual time from the recording). This finally destroyed any confidence of many electors with the impartiality of SLDC's Officers in this matter.

I also note that the Minister (Caroline Spelman) has recently publically announced that no developments will in future occur until appropriate infra structure improvements have been made — I trust that this will be clearly demonstrated in any proposals by SLDC. This is truly ground braking concept as this would imply that firm proposals from the Highway Authority, utilities , fire service etc. will have to be implemented before developments occur.

I have also had sight of the comments of the respected Chartered Engineer D Core and would wish to support him in his well researched and entirely credible comments.

I understand that there are now proposals being formulated to call a statutory parish meeting — with the option to call a subsequent parish poll— to express the lack of confidence of the population of Grange over Sands with the LDF process.

Coles