

12th September, 2011

Dear Sir,

I write once again with an objection to further emerging sites put forward AFTER the initial consultation period of the LDF had closed for comments.

The area for objection is numbered R689/ON26 - Land East of Cartmel and proposed by land owner Holbeck Estates (A Holker Company). Firstly it does seem unusual that R689/ON26 was initially included in the original "proposed sites" plan for Cartmel, then mysteriously? removed around the time of the public consultation window being open for comments, however once this time period for public consultation had closed, as if by magic R689/ON26 "popped up" again as a proposed site, this may be considered perhaps as rather underhand by the land owner, as most of the local residents may remain unaware that the site R689/ON26 has re-emerged as an option for development and therefore may not respond to SLDC with comments regarding this site.

Site R689/ON26

Specific to R689/ON26, I strongly urge SLDC to consider the significant/detrimental impact such a development on this scale would have on the infrastructure and beauty of this wonderful village. The relief of the land R689/ON26 is such that houses built would be seen from every angle in this village, every approach and would detract from the beauty of the Priory Church and Hampsfell that surely are considered as key view points of this Cartmel Valley.

One proposed entrance to this unacceptable site is from Hags Lane, through a piece of land currently used to sustain employment in the area, as an exercise ring for the thriving Race Horse Training Stables, which has to be said is an important part of this village. Surely it is better to have race horses being trained for all (residents & visitors) to witness & enjoy (country way of life) on this proposed access site rather than yet another road leading to an unwanted concrete development and NO, it cannot be accepted that judicious planting of trees would lessen the impact and make it acceptable. It must be noted that this land is susceptible to flooding especially through the winter months; it also beggars belief that this site should even be proposed, let alone considered, as it is constantly used as prime functioning agricultural land, sustaining a milk herd.

I would also like to refer you back to my first letter dated 14th April, 2011, my objections in that letter still stand, in particular the number of properties proposed throughout the parish

□

South Lakeland District Council and the planning department must reconsider all areas proposed and if there is no option but to develop some of the proposed sites, then developments must be kept to an absolute minimum number of dwellings per site, to lessen environmental, and

FCEM190

infra-structure
strain on the area, otherwise you will potentially spoil a beautiful place
forever with no going back.

Please consider the above points and those on my letter dated 14th April, 2011.

Yours Faithfully

Helen Barker (Mrs)

□