



# Consultation Response Form

## Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details		Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation: Householder		Organisation:	
Name: Grahame and Christine Hall		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Postcode:		Postcode:	
Tel:		Tel:	
*Email:		*Email:	

\*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains  pages including this one.

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

## Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

**Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.**

**(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)**

### 1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
SEDGWICK	RN322#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/> <b>OPPOSE</b>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>a) Building on this site would not be 'infill or rounding off' as required for small hamlet or village in the core strategy or development plan documents.</p> <p>b) There is no local requirement for development of market price housing. Existing houses that come up for sale are on the market for considerable time.</p> <p>c) There is a need for 'affordable housing' in Sedgwick (planners should note the 'over subscription' of the 4 affordable homes offered at the nearby 'Rowan Gardens' development in Natland). However, as RN322# is recommended for 'a small number of dwellings' the number of affordable homes (maximum 35% of total) will be small. Suitable building land should be preserved until the financial climate allows affordable housing to become a larger proportion of any housing development.</p> <p>d) This site is good agricultural land and should be used as such. It is also 'prime drumlin landscape' and should not be covered by development.</p> <p>e) Development will adversely affect views from the north (Natland road) and west (A 591) as well as from the very popular footpath along the disused 'northern reaches' canal.</p>		

## Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

4. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
5. Time span of the Land Allocations document
6. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

**Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.**

**(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)**

### 2. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
SEDGWICK	RN280#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/> OPPOSE
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>a) Development on this site was suggested by only one local resident responding to the initial land allocations document.</p> <p>b) Any building on this land will affect the view of possibly the best preserved dry part of the 'northern reaches' canal.</p> <p>c) The view of Sedgwick from the southern approach would be spoilt.</p> <p>d) Traffic along back lane is already heavy (many locals use this route to the A591 to avoid the traffic improvements on Nannypie lane) and road improvements on Back lane (particularly widening) would be essential.</p>		

## 2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

**Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document** (please tick as appropriate)

Support

Support in part

Oppose   
OPPOSE

**Please explain your reasons/add your comments below** (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

**SLDC are now proposing to adopt the final draft of the land allocations document by the end of 2012 (SLDC cabinet meeting 13<sup>th</sup> July). Therefore there will only be 8 years for implementation if the proposed new timeline (to 2020) is adopted. This is almost a 40% reduction from the original timeframe.**

**It is likely that the level of opposition noted for many of the 'emerging options' sites will continue via enquiries and appeals. This process must precede the selection of developers, submission and approval of plans (etc., etc.) and should not be speeded up to meet self-imposed deadlines.**

**I can find no explanation for such a dramatic change on the land allocations web page. Why should there be such a major change to a program for no apparent reason?**

### 3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

**Please indicate which of the above options you would support.** (Please tick as appropriate)

**A**  SUPPORT

**B**

**Please explain your reasons/add your comments below** (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

- a) **Developments in small villages, hamlets and open countryside should be under the control of local authorities but local communities should have the opportunity to present their views. The present Land Allocations document provides this.**
- b) **Within the present Land allocations document it is possible to identify the sites where development would be most profitable (the sites in areas with the highest house prices). If local land owners and developers were at the forefront of these processes it is highly likely that projects would be 'cherry picked' rather than by responding to local need and requirement.**

**Thank you for your views and suggestions.**