



Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

| Your details | | Your Agent's details (if you have one) | |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| Organisation: None | | Organisation: | |
| Name: Elizabeth Black | | Name: | |
| Address | | Address: | |
| | | | |
| Postcode: | | Postcode: | |
| Tel: | | Tel: | |
| *Email | | *Email: | |

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one.

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

If you have any questions, or no longer wish to be consulted on the South Lakeland Local Development Framework, please call the Development Plans Team on tel: 01539 717490.

Completed forms can be sent to:

**Development Strategy Manager
South Lakeland District Council
South Lakeland House
Lowther Street
Kendal
LA9 4DL**

Comments about suggested site allocations (and other map designations)

Please use this form to comment on emerging options and other sites as they appear on the settlement maps. **Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.**

| Which site or allocation do you wish to comment on? | | | |
|---|-----|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Settlement | Map | Site reference | Other designation – If you want to |
| Natland | 11 | R144 R568 RN129 | |

Do you support, oppose or support in part the suggested allocation or designation?
(delete as appropriate)

I support **the suggested** site allocation/designation **for the following use:** open spaces
(green gap)

Please explain your reasons (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

in order to maintain Natland's identity as a village and preserve the existing footpath through open countryside

Which site or allocation do you wish to comment on?

| Settlement (e.g. Natland) | Map Number (e.g. 11) | Site reference number (e.g. R62) | Other designation – If you want to comment on something that doesn't have a site reference (e.g. development boundary, town centre boundary, green gap) please describe it here |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| Natland | 11 | AS56 AS93 SF121 PS37 PS249 | |

Do you support, oppose or support in part the suggested allocation or designation? (delete as appropriate)

I support **the suggested** site allocation/designation **for the following use(s)** community uses/open space

Please explain your reasons (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

In order to maintain Natland's identity as a village and not become a dormitory for Kendal

Which site or allocation do you wish to comment on?

| Settlement (e.g. Natland) | Map Number (e.g. 11) | Site reference number (e.g. R62) | Other designation – If you want to comment on something that doesn't have a site reference (e.g. development boundary, town centre boundary, green gap) please describe it here |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Natland | 11 | R679M R680M R62 [Also: R63 R554 RN150] | |

Do you support, oppose or support in part the suggested allocation or designation? (delete as appropriate)

I do not support **the suggested** site allocation/designation **for the following use(s)** Housing

Please explain your reasons (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Because :

- Natland cannot currently support more traffic - and road improvements to enable this would alter the character of the village to an unacceptable extent.
- More housing in Natland on its own would mean that the village becomes even more of a dormitory for Kendal. Natland has lost at least 2 businesses (joiners and garden centre) in the last 10 years – both sites now housing. Encouragement of low impact (on the amenity and environment of the village) businesses eg through improved broadband is needed to keep the village a community rather than becoming a suburb of Kendal

Comments about community facilities in your area

New development can provide benefits to communities through enabling the delivery of improved or new community facilities (for example, play areas, allotments, green space, car parks, traffic management, pedestrian and cycle links, health and education facilities and community centres etc).

Do you think that your area needs new or improved community facilities?

If so, what sort of facilities and where?

Please explain the types of improved and/or new community facilities you feel your community may need in the next 15 years (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary).

The village shop and post office in Natland should be protected and the withdrawal of the only bus service reviewed. The latter should consider whether everything had been done that could have been done to make the 550 bus service relevant to Natland resident's needs and that sufficient promotion of its service has been undertaken. With increasing oil prices and difficulty of parking, residents may be more supportive of a service that went into Kendal at useful times and was well publicised.

Improved broadband is needed not least to encourage low impact businesses as mentioned in the above comments on more housing in Natland