

Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

Your details

Organisation:	
Name:	MR. & MRS. ARCHER-SMITH
Address:	
Postcode:	
Telephone:	
Email*:	

Your agent's details (if you have one)

Organisation:	
Name:	
Address:	
Postcode:	
Telephone:	
Email*:	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, please provide an email address if you have one - where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one (please indicate).

If you have any questions, or wish to be removed from our consultation database, please call the Development Plans team on tel: **01539 793388**.

Completed forms can be sent to:

Email: **developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk**

By post to:

**Development Plans Manager
South Lakeland District Council
South Lakeland House
Lowther Street
Kendal
Cumbria LA9 4DQ**

Consultation Response Form

Comments about Development Briefs

Please use this form to comment on the options and opportunities for the development brief.

If you wish, you can accompany your written suggestions by drawing your ideas directly onto a map of the site you are commenting on.

Maps are available from the council's website:
www.southlakeland.gov.uk/development-briefs

by emailing:
developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk

by calling: 01539 793388

Or by writing to:

**Development Plans Manager
South Lakeland District Council
South Lakeland House
Lowther Street
Kendal, Cumbria
LA9 4DQ**

Please submit your map(s) along with your completed response form by email or post.

Consultation Response Form

**Have we identified the right key issues for this site? Are there any we have missed?
What key aspects would you like to see delivered on the site? What do you value?**

WE WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THE GARDENS IN MAYFIELD AVENUE, NUMBERS 62, 64, 66, 68, THAT BACK ON TO ONE OF THE FIELDS IN QUESTION ALL SUFFER FROM VERY WET BACK GARDENS AND A LOT OF THE TIME ARE WATERLOGGED. THE FIELD IS HIGHER THAN OUR GARDENS AND THE WATER DRAINS INTO OUR PROPERTIES. FURTHER PROPERTIES WILL ONLY ADD TO THE PROBLEM OF FLOODING THAT WE HAVE.

ALSO, JUST BEHIND OUR GARDENS (SEE ATTACHED MAP) THERE ARE MANHOLE COVERS WHICH ARE NOT SHOWN ON YOUR MAP. ONE MANHOLE BEHIND 64, TWO BEHIND NO. 66 AND ONE BEHIND NO. 68 MAYFIELD AVENUE. WE UNDERSTAND THESE ARE SOAKAWAYS FOR OUR PROPERTIES. SO WHAT HAPPENS WITH THESE, IF PROPERTIES ARE BUILT BEHIND US.

What do you think the overall vision for the site should be?

Development Briefs Phase 3B: Issues and Options Consultation

Consultation Response Form

What do you think this brief should seek to deliver in terms of landscape, open space, green infrastructure or biodiversity?

THIS NEW DEVELOPMENT SHOULD HAVE PLENTY OF OPEN/GREEN SPACE, OTHERWISE IT STARTS TO LOOK LIKE ANY OTHER TOWN AND DETRACTS FROM THE BEAUTIFUL VILLAGE THAT HOLME IS.

What approach do you think this brief should take to transport, movement and access into and through the site – including for cyclists and pedestrians?

AS A RESIDENT OF MATFIELD AVENUE, WE ARE VERY AWARE OF THE FURTHER PROBLEMS IT WOULD BRING IF VEHICULAR ACCESS WAS VIA MATFIELD AVENUE. THIS ROAD AND PEAR TREE PARK ARE NARROW ROADS WITH NUMEROUS BENDS AND LOTS OF PARKED CARS. AS WELL AS THE ADDITIONAL CARS RELATING TO THE NEW PROPERTIES, IT WOULD BECOME A "RAT RUN" TO AND FROM MILNTHORPE ROAD MAKING THIS AREA EXTREMELY DANGEROUS. ALSO THE EASTERN BOUNDARY IS WHERE THE 25% OF THE SITE IS FOR INFORMAL PUBLIC OPEN SPACE AND NEXT TO THE S.L.D.C. PUBLIC OPEN SPACE WHERE CHILDREN ALREADY PLAY SO IT WOULD BE DANGEROUS TO HAVE VEHICULAR ACCESS HERE.

What do you think this brief should seek to address in terms of infrastructure and utilities?

Have you any comments or suggestions on aspects of land-use (including housing and employment mix and type), layout and design principles that this brief should seek to promote?

WE THINK IT SHOULD BE LOW RISE HOUSING AND NOT BLOCKS OF FLATS,

Consultation Response Form

Have you any comments or suggestions on community infrastructure and facilities required locally that could potentially be delivered through this brief?

HOLME VILLAGE HAS VERY LITTLE IN FACILITIES FOR THE GROWING NUMBER OF RESIDENTS, IN PARTICULAR FOR THE CHILDREN AND ELDERLY AND WOULD THEREFORE BENEFIT FROM A DOCTORS SURGERY AND CHEMIST.

Have you any other comments, including on the Proposals Document?

FLOODING - THE ROADS THAT LEAD TO HOLME I.E. A6070 IS VERY OFTEN FLOODED IN WINTER FROM CROOKLANDS AND BURTON-IN-KENDAL, SOMETHING NEEDS TO BE DONE TO HELP THIS PROBLEM.

THE B6384 MILNTHORPE ROAD ALSO HAS AREAS THAT FLOOD AT TIMES AND IS A TWISTING NARROW ROAD WHICH IS GOING TO RECEIVE A LOT MORE TRAFFIC AND IS ALREADY QUITE BUSTY.

WE ARE ALSO CONCERNED FOR THE LACK OF PRIVACY AND NOISE FOR OUR PROPERTY.

Thank you for your views and suggestions.

Electronic copies of the form can be downloaded from: www.southlakeland.gov.uk/development-briefs

Paper copies can be obtained by emailing: developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk, by calling:

01539 793388 or by writing to:

Development Plans Manager
South Lakeland District Council
South Lakeland House
Lowther Street
Kendal, Cumbria
LA9 4DQ

Development Brief: East of Milnthorpe Road, Holme Draft Constraints and Opportunities Map

April 2017

